

DATA MINING 1

Data Similarity

Dino Pedreschi, Riccardo Guidotti

Revisited slides from Lecture Notes for Chapter 2 “Introduction to Data Mining”, 2nd Edition by Tan, Steinbach, Karpatne, Kumar



Similarity and Dissimilarity

- **Similarity**

- Numerical measure of how alike two data objects are.
- Is higher when objects are more alike.
- Often falls in the range $[0,1]$

- **Dissimilarity**

- Numerical measure of how different are two data objects
- Lower when objects are more alike
- Minimum dissimilarity is often 0
- Upper limit varies

- **Proximity refers to a similarity or dissimilarity**

Similarity/Dissimilarity for one Attribute

p and q are the attribute values for two data objects.

Attribute Type	Dissimilarity	Similarity
Nominal	$d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = q \\ 1 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}$	$s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}$
Ordinal	$d = \frac{ p-q }{n-1}$ <p>(values mapped to integers 0 to $n-1$, where n is the number of values)</p>	$s = 1 - \frac{ p-q }{n-1}$
Interval or Ratio	$d = p - q $	$s = -d, s = \frac{1}{1+d} \text{ or } s = 1 - \frac{d - \min_d}{\max_d - \min_d}$

Table 5.1. Similarity and dissimilarity for simple attributes


Euclidean Distance

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2}$$

where n is the number of dimensions (attributes) and x_k and y_k are, respectively, the k^{th} attributes (components) or data objects \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . Standardization is necessary, if scales differ.

- Standardization is necessary, if scales differ.

Euclidean Distance



point	x	y
p1	0	2
p2	2	0
p3	3	1
p4	5	1

	p1	p2	p3	p4
p1	0	2.828	3.162	5.099
p2	2.828	0	1.414	3.162
p3	3.162	1.414	0	2
p4	5.099	3.162	2	0

Distance Matrix

Minkowski Distance

- Minkowski Distance is a generalization of Euclidean Distance

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - y_k|^r \right)^{1/r}$$

Where r is a parameter, n is the number of dimensions (attributes) and x_k and y_k are, respectively, the k^{th} attributes (components) or data objects \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Minkowski Distance: Examples

- $r = 1$. City block (Manhattan, taxicab, L_1 norm) distance.
 - A common example of this is the Hamming distance, which is just the number of bits that are different between two binary vectors
- $r = 2$. Euclidean distance
- $r \rightarrow \infty$. “supremum” (L_{\max} norm, L_∞ norm) distance.
 - This is the maximum difference between any component of the vectors
- Do not confuse r with n , i.e., all these distances are defined for all numbers of dimensions.

Minkowski Distance

point	x	y
p1	0	2
p2	2	0
p3	3	1
p4	5	1

L1	p1	p2	p3	p4
p1	0	4	4	6
p2	4	0	2	4
p3	4	2	0	2
p4	6	4	2	0

L2	p1	p2	p3	p4
p1	0	2.828	3.162	5.099
p2	2.828	0	1.414	3.162
p3	3.162	1.414	0	2
p4	5.099	3.162	2	0

L_{∞}	p1	p2	p3	p4
p1	0	2	3	5
p2	2	0	1	3
p3	3	1	0	2
p4	5	3	2	0

Distance Matrix

Common Properties of a Distance

- Distances, such as the Euclidean distance, have some well-known properties.

1. $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} and $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. (Positive definiteness)
2. $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . (Symmetry)
3. $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ for all points \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and \mathbf{z} . (Triangle Inequality)

where $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the distance (dissimilarity) between points (data objects), \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

- A distance that satisfies these properties is a **metric**

Common Properties of a Similarity

Similarities, also have some well-known properties.

1. $s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1$ (or maximum similarity) only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.
(does not always hold, e.g., cosine)
2. $s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = s(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . (Symmetry)

where $s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the similarity between points (data objects), \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Binary Data

Categorical	insufficient	sufficient	good	very good	excellent
p1	0	0	1	0	0
p2	0	0	1	0	0
p3	1	0	0	0	0
p4	0	1	0	0	0
item	bread	butter	milk	apple	tooth-past
p1	1	1	0	1	0
p2	0	0	1	1	1
p3	1	1	1	0	0
p4	1	0	1	1	0

Similarity Between Binary Vectors

- Common situation is that objects, p and q , have only binary attributes

- Compute similarities using the following quantities

M_{01} = the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 1

M_{10} = the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 0

M_{00} = the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 0

M_{11} = the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 1

- Simple Matching and Jaccard Coefficients

SMC = number of matches / number of attributes

$$= (M_{11} + M_{00}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11} + M_{00})$$

J = number of 11 matches / number of not-both-zero attributes values

$$= (M_{11}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11})$$

SMC versus Jaccard: Example

$p = 1000000000$

$q = 0000001001$

$M_{01} = 2$ (the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 1)

$M_{10} = 1$ (the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 0)

$M_{00} = 7$ (the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 0)

$M_{11} = 0$ (the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 1)

$$\text{SMC} = (M_{11} + M_{00}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11} + M_{00}) = (0+7) / (2+1+0+7) = 0.7$$

$$J = (M_{11}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11}) = 0 / (2 + 1 + 0) = 0$$

Document Data

	team	coach	play	ball	score	game	win	lost	timeout	season
Document 1	3	0	5	0	2	6	0	2	0	2
Document 2	0	7	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0
Document 3	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0

Cosine Similarity

- If d_1 and d_2 are two document vectors, then

$$\cos(d_1, d_2) = (d_1 \bullet d_2) / ||d_1|| ||d_2||$$

where \bullet indicates vector dot product and $||d||$ is the length of vector d .

- Example:

$$d_1 = \mathbf{3\ 2\ 0\ 5\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 0\ 0}$$

$$d_2 = \mathbf{1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 2}$$

$$d_1 \bullet d_2 = 3*1 + 2*0 + 0*0 + 5*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 2*1 + 0*0 + 0*2 = 5$$

$$||d_1|| = (3*3 + 2*2 + 0*0 + 5*5 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 2*2 + 0*0 + 0*0)^{0.5} = (42)^{0.5} = 6.481$$

$$||d_2|| = (1*1 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 1*1 + 0*0 + 2*2)^{0.5} = (6)^{0.5} = 2.245$$

$$\cos(d_1, d_2) = .3150$$

Using Weights to Combine Similarities

- May not want to treat all attributes the same.
 - Use non-negative weights ω_k

- $similarity(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k \delta_k s_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k \delta_k}$

- Can also define a weighted form of distance


$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k |x_k - y_k|^r \right)^{1/r}$$

Correlation

- Correlation measures the linear relationship between objects (binary or continuous)
- To compute correlation, we standardize data objects, \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} , and then take their dot product (covariance/standard deviation)

$$\text{corr}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\text{covariance}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\text{standard_deviation}(\mathbf{x}) * \text{standard_deviation}(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y},$$

Visually Evaluating Correlation



**Scatter plots
showing the
similarity from
-1 to 1.**

Mixed/Heterogenous Distances

- What happen if we have data with both continuous and categorical attributes?
- Option 1: discretize continuous attributes and use categorical distances like Jaccard, SMC, etc.
- ~~• Option 2: pretend that categorical attributes can be represented with values and use continuous distances like Euclidean, Manhattan, etc.~~
- Option 3: define a new heterogenous distance like:
- $d(x, y) = n_{\text{cat}}/n d_{\text{cat}}(x_{\text{cat}}, y_{\text{cat}}) + n_{\text{con}}/n d_{\text{con}}(x_{\text{con}}, y_{\text{con}})$