# **DATA MINING 1 Data Similarity**

Dino Pedreschi, Riccardo Guidotti

*Revisited slides from Lecture Notes for Chapter 2 "Introduction to Data Mining", 2nd Edition by Tan, Steinbach, Karpatne, Kumar*



# **Similarity and Dissimilarity**

#### **• Similarity**

- Numerical measure of how alike two data objects are.
- Is higher when objects are more alike.
- Often falls in the range [0,1]

#### **•Dissimilarity**

- Numerical measure of how different are two data objects
- Lower when objects are more alike
- Minimum dissimilarity is often 0
- Upper limit varies

#### **• Proximity refers to a similarity or dissimilarity**

#### **Similarity/Dissimilarity for one Attribute**

*p* and *q* are the attribute values for two data objects.



Table 5.1. Similarity and dissimilarity for simple attributes

#### **Euclidean Distance**

$$
d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - y_k)^2}
$$

where *n* is the number of dimensions (attributes) and  $x_k$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{k}^{}$  are, respectively, the  $k^{th}$  attributes (components) or  $\stackrel{\sim}{{\mathfrak{a}}}$ ata objects **x** and **y**. Standardization is necessary, if scales differ.

• Standardization is necessary, if scales differ.

#### **Euclidean Distance**







**Distance Matrix**

#### **Minkowski Distance**

• Minkowski Distance is a generalization of Euclidean Distance

$$
d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - y_k|^r\right)^{1/r}
$$

Where *r* is a parameter, *n* is the number of dimensions (attributes) and  $\overline{X}_l$  $\kappa_{k}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{k}$  are, respectively, the  $k^\text{th}$  attributes (components) or data objects *x* and *y*.

#### **Minkowski Distance: Examples**

- $r = 1$ . City block (Manhattan, taxicab, L<sub>1</sub> norm) distance.
	- A common example of this is the Hamming distance, which is just the number of bits that are different between two binary vectors
- *• r* = 2. Euclidean distance
- *r* → ∞. "supremum" (L<sub>max</sub> norm, L<sub>∞</sub> norm) distance.
	- This is the maximum difference between any component of the vectors
- Do not confuse *r* with *n*, i.e., all these distances are defined for all numbers of dimensions.

#### **Minkowski Distance**





**Distance Matrix**

# **Common Properties of a Distance**

- •Distances, such as the Euclidean, have some well-known properties.
	- *1. d*(**x**, **y**)  $\geq$  0 for all *x* and *y* and *d*(**x**, **y**) = 0 only if **x** *=* **y**. (Positive definiteness)
	- 2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all **x** and **y**. (Symmetry)
	- *3. d*(**x**, **z**)  $\leq$  *d*(**x**, **y**) + *d*(**y**, **z**) for all points **x**, **y**, and **z**. (Triangle Inequality)

where *d*(**x**, **y**) is the distance (dissimilarity) between points (data objects), **x** and **y**.

• A distance that satisfies these properties is a metric

# **Common Properties of a Similarity**

Similarities, also have some well-known properties.

- *1.*  $s(x, y) = 1$  (or maximum similarity) only if  $x = y$ . (does not always hold, e.g., cosine)
- 2.  $s(x, y) = s(y, x)$  for all **x** and **y**. (Symmetry)

where *s*(**x**, **y**) is the similarity between points (data objects), **x** and **y**.

# **Binary Data**



# **Similarity Between Binary Vectors**

- Common situation is that objects, *p* and *q*, have only binary attributes
- Compute similarities using the following quantities

 $M_{01}$  = the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 1  $M_{10}$  = the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 0  $M_{00}$  = the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 0  $M_{11}$  = the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 1

• Simple Matching and Jaccard Coefficients

SMC = number of matches / number of attributes

=  $(M_{11} + M_{00}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11} + M_{00})$ 

J = number of 11 matches / number of not-both-zero attributes values

 $= (M_{11}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11})$ 

#### **SMC versus Jaccard: Example**

*p* = 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *q* = 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1

 $M_{01} = 2$  (the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 1)  $M_{10} = 1$  (the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 0)  $M_{00} = 7$  (the number of attributes where p was 0 and q was 0)  $M_{11} = 0$  (the number of attributes where p was 1 and q was 1)

$$
SMC = (M_{11} + M_{00})/(M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11} + M_{00}) = (0+7) / (2+1+0+7) = 0.7
$$

$$
J = (M_{11}) / (M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11}) = 0 / (2 + 1 + 0) = 0
$$

#### **Document Data**



# **Cosine Similarity**

- If  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are two document vectors, then
- $cos(d_1, d_2) = (d_1 \cdot d_2) / ||d_1|| ||d_2||$

where ∙ indicates vector dot product and || *d* || is the norm of vector *d*.

• Example:

 $d_1$  = 3 2 0 5 0 0 0 2 0 0 *d*<sub>2</sub> = 1000000102

 $d_1 \cdot d_2 = 3*1 + 2*0 + 0*0 + 5*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 0*0 + 2*1 + 0*0 + 0*2 = 5$  $||d_1|| = (3*3+2*2+0*0+5*5+0*0+0*0+0*0+2*2+0*0+0*0)^{0.5} = (42)^{0.5} = 6.481$  $||d_2|| = (1 * 1 + 0 * 0 + 0 * 0 + 0 * 0 + 0 * 0 + 0 * 0 + 0 * 0 + 1 * 1 + 0 * 0 + 2 * 2)$ <sup>0.5</sup> = (6)<sup>0.5</sup> = 2.245

 $cos(d_1, d_2) = .3150$ 

### **Using Weights to Combine Similarities**

- May not want to treat all attributes the same.
	- Use non-negative weights  $\omega_k$

• similarity(**x**, **y**) = 
$$
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_k \delta_k s_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_k \delta_k}
$$

• Can also define a weighted form of distance

$$
d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k |x_k - y_k|^r\right)^{1/r}
$$

# **Correlation**

- Correlation measures the linear relationship between objects (binary or continuous)
- To compute correlation, we standardize data objects, p and q, and then take their dot product (covariance/standard deviation)

$$
corr(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{covariance(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{standard\_deviation(\mathbf{x}) * standard\_deviation(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x \ s_y},
$$

#### **Visually Evaluating Correlation**



**Scatter plots showing the similarity from –1 to 1.**

# **Mixed/Heterogenous Distances**

- •What happen if we have data with both continuous and categorical attributes?
- •Option 1: discretize continuous attributes and use categorical distances like Jaccard, SMC, etc.
- Option 2: pretend that categorical attributes can be represente values and use continuous distances like Euclidean, Manhattan,
- •Option 3: define a new heterogenous distance like:

• 
$$
d(x, y) = n_{cat}/n d_{cat}(x_{cat}, y_{cat}) + n_{con}/n d_{con}(x_{con}, y_{con})
$$