# DATA MINING 2 Transactional Clustering

Riccardo Guidotti

a.a. 2020/2021



# **Clustering**

- **Clustering**: Grouping of objects into different sets, or more precisely, the partitioning of a data set into subsets (clusters), so that the data in each subset (ideally) share some common trait - often proximity according to some defined distance measure
- Common distance functions:
	- Euclidean distance, Manhattan distance, …
- This kind of distance functions are suitable for **numerical data**

### Not Only Numerical Data



Categorical Data



## Boolean and Categorical Attributes

- A **boolean** attribute corresponding to a single item in a transaction, if that item appears, the boolean attribute is set to '1' or '0' otherwise.
- A **categorical** attribute may have several values, each value can be treated as an item and represented by a boolean attribute.

#### Market Basket Data

- A transaction represents one customer, and each transaction contains set of items purchased by the customer.
- Clustering customers reveals customers with similar buying patterns putting them into the same cluster.
- It is useful for
	- Characterizing different customer groups
	- Targeted Marketing
	- Predict buying patterns of new customers based on profile
- A market basket database: A scenario where attributes of data points are non-numeric, transaction viewed as records with boolean attributes corresponding to a single item (TRUE if transaction contain item, FALSE otherwise).
- **Boolean** attributes are special case of **Categorical** attributes.

# Shortcomings of Traditional Clustering

- For categorical data we:
	- Define new criterion for *neighbors* and/or *similarity*
	- Define the ordering criterion
- Consider the following 4 market basket transactions



• using Euclidean distance to measure the closeness between all pairs of points, we find that d(P1,P2) is the smallest distance: **it is equal to 1**

# Shortcomings of Traditional Clustering

- If we use a hierarchical algorithm then we merge P1 and P2 and get a new cluster (P12) with (1, 1, 0.5, 1) as a centroid
- Then, using Euclidean distance again, we find:
	- d(p12,p3)=  $\sqrt{3.25}$
	- d(p12,p4)=  $\sqrt{2.25}$
	- d(p3,p4)=  $\sqrt{2}$
- So, **we should merge P3 and P4** since the distance between them is the shortest.
- **However, T3 and T4 don't have even a single common item.**
- So, using distance metrics as similarity measure for **categorical** data is not appropriate.

**P1= (1, 1, 1, 1) P2= (1, 1, 0, 1) P3= (0, 0, 1, 0) P4= (0, 0, 0, 1)**

#### Clustering Algorithms for Categorical/Transactional Data

- K-Modes
- ROCK
- CLOPE
- TX-Means

#### K-Modes

Minimise 
$$
P(W, Q) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i,l} d(X_i, Q_l)
$$
  
\nsubject to  $\sum_{l=1}^{k} w_{i,l} = 1, \quad 1 \le i \le n$   
\n $w_{i,l} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \quad 1 \le l \le k$ 

- $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$  is the dataset of objects.
- $X_i = [x_1, ..., x_m]$  is an object i.e., a vector of *m* categorical attributes
- *W* is a matrix  $n \times k$ , with  $w_{i,j}$  equal to 1 if  $X_i$  belongs to Cluster *l*, 0 otherwise.
- $Q = \{ Q_1, ..., Q_k \}$  is the set of representative objects (mode) for the *k* clusters.
- $d(X_i, Q_i)$  is a distance function for objects in the data

### K-Modes: Distance

• K-Means as distance uses Euclidean distance

$$
d(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - y_i)^2
$$

• K-Modes as distance uses the number of mismatches between the attributes of two objects.

$$
d_1(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(x_j, y_j)
$$

$$
\delta(x_j, y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x_j = y_j) \\ 1 & (x_j \neq y_j) \end{cases}
$$

#### K-Modes: Mode

- K-Modes uses the mode as representative object of a cluster
- Given the set of objects in the cluster C<sub>I</sub> the mode is get computing the max frequency for each attribute

$$
f_r(A_j = c_{l,j} | X_l) = \frac{n_{c_{l,k}}}{n}
$$

# K-Modes: Algorithm

- 1. Randomly select the initial objects as modes
- 2. Scan of the data to assign each object to the closer cluster identified by the mode
- 3. Re-compute the mode of each cluster
- 4. Repeat the steps 2 and 3 until no object changes the assigned cluster

# ROCK: RObust Clustering using linK

- ROCK is a **hierarchical** algorithm for clustering transactional data (market basket databases)
- ROCK uses **links to cluster** instead of the classical distance notion
- ROCK uses the notion of **neighborhood** between pair of objects to identify **the number of links** between two objects

# ROCK: The Neighbors Concept

- It captures a notion of **similarity**
	- A and B are neighbors if **sim(A, B) ≥ θ**
- ROCK uses the **Jaccard coefficient**
	- sim(A, B)= |A ∩ B| / | A U B |



- A **link** defines the number of common neighbors between two objects:
- **link(A, B) = |neighbor(A) ∩ neighbor(B) |**
- Higher values of *link(A, B)* means higher probability that *A* and *B* belong to the same cluster
- **Similarity** is **local** while **link** is capturing **global** information
- A point is considered a neighbor of itself
- There is a link from each neighbor of the "root" point back to itself through the root
- Therefore, if a point has *n* neighbors, then *n2* links are due to it.



# ROCK: Example

- - {Book}
	- {Water, Sun, Sand, Swimming}
	- {Water, Sun, Sand, Reading}
	- {Reading, Sand}
- Resulting Jaccard Coefficient Matrix
- Set Threshold = 0.2. Neighbors:
	- $N(A)=\{A\}; N(B)=\{B,C,D\}$
	- $N(C) = {B, C, D}$ ,  $N(D) = {B, C, D}$
- Number of Links Table
	- Link (B, C) =  $|\{B, C, D\}|$  = 3







• Resulting Clusters after applying ROCK: {A}, {B,C,D}

## ROCK – Criterion Function

$$
\text{Maximize} \qquad E_l = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i * \sum_{p_q, p_r \in C_i} \frac{\text{link}(p_q, p_r)}{\binom{1+2f(\theta)}{n_i}}
$$

Dividing by the number **of expected links between pairs of objects in the cluster C<sub>i</sub> we** avoid that objects with a low number of links are assigned all to the same cluster

 $\theta$ 

 $+$ 1

 $f(\theta) = \frac{1-\theta}{1-\theta}$ 

Where *Ci denotes cluster i ni is the number of points in Ci k is the number of clusters* q *is the similarity threshold*

This goodness measure helps to identify the best pair of clusters to be merged during each step of ROCK.

$$
g(C_i, C_j) = \frac{link[C_i, C_j]}{(n_i + n_j)^{1+2f(\theta)} - n_i^{1+2f(\theta)} - n_j^{1+2f(\theta)} \atop \text{Number of expected cross-links between two clusters}}
$$

# ROCK: Clustering Algorithm

#### **Input**:

A set S of data points Number of k clusters to be found The similarity threshold

#### **Output:**

Groups of clustered data

The ROCK algorithm is divided into three major parts:

- 1. Draw a random sample from the data set
- 2. Perform a hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm
- 3. Label data

#### **Draw a random sample from the data set:**

- Sampling is used to ensure scalability to very large data sets
- The initial sample is used to form clusters, then the remaining data on dataset is assigned to these clusters

#### **Perform a hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm:**

- ROCK performs the following steps which are common to all hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithms, but with different definition to the similarity measures:
	- 1. Places each single data point into a separate cluster
	- 2. Compute the similarity measure for all pairs of clusters
	- 3. Merge the two clusters with the highest similarity (goodness measure)
	- 4. Verify a stop condition. If it is not met then go to step 2.

# ROCK: Clustering Algorithm

#### **Label data**

- Finally, the remaining data points are assigned to the clusters.
- This is done by selecting a random sample  $L_i$  from each cluster  $C_i$ , then we assign each point *p* to the cluster for which it has the strongest linkage with L<sub>i</sub>.

## ROCK Summary

Input: dataset, number of clusters.

- 1. Draw a random sample from the data set
- 2. Places each data point into a separate cluster
- 3. Compute the similarity measure for all pairs of clusters
- 4. Merge the two clusters with the highest similarity
- 5. Verify a stop condition. If it is not met then go to step 2.
- 6. Assign not used points to clusters using linkage similarity with respect to selected samples from each cluster

# CLOPE: Clustering with sLOPE

- Transactional clustering efficient for high dimensional data
- Uses a **global criterion function** that tries to increase the intra-cluster overlapping of transaction items **by increasing the height-to-width ratio of the cluster histogram**.

Example: 5 transactions  $\{a,b\}$   $\{a,b,c\}$   $\{a,c,d\}$   $\{d,e\}$   $\{d,e,f\}$ 



#### **Higher H/W means higher item overlapping**

### CLOPE: Criterion Function

- For evaluating the goodness of a clustering the **gradient of a cluster** is
- $G(C)=H(C)/W(C)=S(C)/W(C)^2$



# CLOPE: Algorithm

- /\* Phrase 1 Initialization  $*/$
- 1: while not end of the database file
- $2:$ read the next transaction  $\langle t, \text{unknown} \rangle$ ;
- $3:$ put t in an existing cluster or a new cluster  $C_i$ that maximize profit;
- write  $\langle t, i \rangle$  back to database;  $4:$ 
	- /\* Phrase 2 Iteration  $*/$
- 5: repeat
- rewind the database file;  $6:$
- $7:$  $\mathit{moved}$  = false;
- while not end of the database file  $8:$
- $9:$ read  $\langle t, i \rangle$ ;
- $10:$ move t to an existing cluster or new cluster  $C_i$ that maximize profit;
- if  $C_i \neq C_j$  then  $11:$
- write  $\langle t, j \rangle$ ;  $12:$
- $13:$  $moved = true;$
- 14: until not *moved*;

## CLOPE Summary

Input: dataset, repulsion, maximum number of clusters

- Phase 1
- 1. For each transaction, add it to a new cluster or to an existing one such that the profit is maximized
- Phase 2
- 1. For each transaction, try to move it to another cluster and do it if this maximizes the profit
- 2. Repeat 1. until all the transactions remain in the same cluster



- A parameter-free clustering algorithm able to efficiently partitioning transactional data automatically
- Suitable for the case where clustering must be applied on a massive number of different datasets
	- E.g.: when a large set of users need to be analyzed individually and each of them has generated a long history of transactions
- TX-Means automatically estimates **the number of clusters**
- TX-Means provides the **representative transaction** of each cluster, which summarizes the pattern captured by that cluster.

#### How It Works 1/3



How It Works 2/3



#### How It Works 3/3

• Clusters

• Representative Baskets

#### TX-Means Algorithm



- add B, r to the clustering result C;
- Return C;

#### Bisecting Schema

#### **BISECTBASKET(B: baskets):**

- SSE  $\leftarrow$  inf;
- r1, r2 <-- select random initial baskets in B as representative;
- While True:
	- C1, C2 <-- assign baskets in B with respect to  $r1$ ,  $r2$ ;
	- r1\_new <--  $GETERPR(C1)$ ; r2\_new <--  $GETERPR(C2)$ ;
	- SSE\_new <-- **SSE**(C1,C2,r1\_new,r2\_new);
	- If SSE new >= SSE Then:
		- Return C1,C2,r1,r2;
	- $r1, r2 \le -1$  new, r2 new;

overlap-based distance function: Jaccard coefficient

#### Get Representative Baskets

#### **GETREPR(B: baskets):**

- I <-- not common items in B;
- r <-- common items in B;
- While I is not empty:
	- Add to r the items with maximum frequency in I;
	- Calculate the distance between  $r$  and the baskets in  $B$ ;
	- If the distance no longer decreases Then:
		- Return r;
	- Else
		- remove from I the items with maximum frequency;
- Return r;

overlap-based distance function (Jaccard coefficient)

- Clustering of a big individual transactional dataset B.
- TX-Means is scalable thanks to the following sampling strategy.
- Sampling strategy:
	- Random selection of a subset S of the baskets in B;
	- Run of TX-Means on the subset S and obtain clusters C and representative baskets R;
	- Assign the remaining baskets  $B/S$  to the clusters C using a nearest neighbor approach with respect to the representative baskets R.

## References

- Guha, S., et al. ROCK: A robust clustering algorithm for categorical attributes. 2000.
- Yang, Y., et al. CLOPE: a fast and effective clustering algorithm for transactional data. 2002
- Guidotti, R., et al. Clustering individual transactional data for masses of users. 2017.



n and/or a fee. SIGKDD '02, July 23-26, 2002, Edmonton, Alberta, Canad

 $X$ -means: Extending  $K$ -means with Efficient Estimation of the Number of Clusters

# Exercises Transactional Clustering

- Suppose we have four verses contains some subjects , as follows:
- P1={ judgment, faith, prayer, fair}
- P2={ fasting, faith, prayer}
- P3={ fair, fasting, faith}
- P4={ fasting, prayer, pilgrimage}
- **the similarity threshold = 0.3, and number of required cluster is 2.**

Using Jaccard coefficient as a similarity measure, we obtain the following similarity table



- Since we have a similarity threshold equal to 0.3, then we derive the adjacency table:  $\rightarrow$
- By multiplying the adjacency table with itself, we derive the following table which shows the number of links (or common neighbors):  $\rightarrow$







• we compute the goodness measure for all adjacent points ,assuming that

• 
$$
f(\theta) = 1 - \theta / 1 + \theta = 1 - 0.3 / 1 + 0.3 = 0.54
$$

- we obtain the following table  $\rightarrow$
- we have an equal goodness measure for merging ((P1,P2), (P2,P3), (P3,P1))

$$
g(P_i, P_j) = \frac{link[P_i, P_j]}{(n+m)^{1+2f(\theta)} - n^{1+2f(\theta)} - m^{1+2f(\theta)}}
$$



- Now, we start the hierarchical algorithm by merging, say P1 and P2.
- A new cluster (let's call it C(P1,P2)) is formed.
- It should be noted that for some other hierarchical clustering techniques, we will not start the clustering process by merging P1 and P2, since  $Sim(P1, P2) = 0.4$ , which is not the highest. But, ROCK uses the number of links as the similarity measure rather than distance.

- Now, after merging P1 and P2, we have only three clusters. The following table shows the number of common neighbors for these  $clusters$ : $\rightarrow$
- Then we can obtain the following goodness measures for all adjacent clusters: $\rightarrow$





• Since the number of required clusters is 2, then we finish the clustering algorithm by merging C(P1,P2) and P3, obtaining a new cluster C(P1,P2,P3) which contains {P1,P2,P3} leaving P4 alone in a separate cluster.

• Given the following similarity matrix find the clustering result knowing that the similarity threshold = 0.4, and number of required cluster is 2.











• 
$$
f(\theta) = 1 - \theta / 1 + \theta = 1 - 0.4 / 1 + 0.4 = 0.43
$$

• 1 + 2  $f(\theta)$  = 1.86

$$
g(P_i, P_j) = \frac{link[P_i, P_j]}{(n+m)^{1+2f(\theta)} - n^{1+2f(\theta)} - m^{1+2f(\theta)}}
$$





• 
$$
f(\theta) = 1 - \theta / 1 + \theta = 1 - 0.4 / 1 + 0.4 = 0.43
$$

• 1 + 2  $f(\theta) = 1.86$ 

$$
g(P_i, P_j) = \frac{link[P_i, P_j]}{(n+m)^{1+2f(\theta)} - n^{1+2f(\theta)} - m^{1+2f(\theta)}}
$$



• *Final Clusters: p1234 p5*

# Clope Exercise 1

Split1:

- 4 transactions: abc, abc, ab, a
	- a: 4, b:3, c: 2 -> sol: S=9; W=3; H=9/3=3; H/W=1
- 3 transactions: def, de, de
	- d: 3, e:3, f: 1 -> sol: S=7; W=3; H=7/3=2.33; H/W=0.77

Split2:

- 2 transactions: abcd, ab
	- a: 2, b:2, c: 1, d:1 -> sol: S=6; W=4; H=6/4=1.5; H/W=0.37
- 2 transactions: ec, ec
	- e:2, c: 2 -> sol:  $S=4$ ; W=2; H=4/2=2; H/W=1

Split1 is the best clustering considering r=2 Profit(Split1) =  $(9/3^2 * 4 + 7/3^2 * 3)/7 = 0.90$ Profit(Split2) =  $(6/4^2 * 2 + 4/2^2 * 2)$  /4 = 0.16

 $Profit_r(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{W(C_i)}^r}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} |\mathcal{C}|}$