# DATA MINING 2 Time Series Classification

Riccardo Guidotti

a.a. 2023/2024



#### **Time Series Classification**

- Given a set X of n time series,  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ , each time series has m ordered values  $x_i = \langle x_{t1}, x_{t2}, ..., x_{tm} \rangle$  and a class value  $c_i$ .
- The objective is to find a function *f* that maps from the space of possible time series to the space of possible class values.
- Generally, it is assumed that all the TS have the same length *m*.

#### **KNN Classification**

- The most widely used and effective approach for TSC consists in using KNN on the raw time series.
- Pros:
  - Simple
  - Dynamic Time Warping gives much better results than Euclidean distance on many problems.
- Cons:
  - KNN is a lazy classifier and computationally expensive on its own
  - Dynamic Time Warping is very very slow to calculate

#### Shapelet-based Classification

- 1. Represent a TS as a vector of distances with representative subsequences, namely shapelets.
- 2. Shapelet are sued to transform a dataset and to use the transformed version as input for machine learning classifiers.



#### **Shapelet-based Classifiers**



#### Distance with a Subsequence

- Distance from the TS to the subsequence *SubsequenceDist(T, S)* is a distance function that takes time series *T* and subsequence *S* as inputs and returns a nonnegative value *d*, which is the distance from *T* to *S*.
- SubsequenceDist(T, S) = min(Dist(S, S')), for S'  $\in S_T^{|S|}$
- where  $S_T^{/S/}$  is the set of all possible subsequences of T
- Intuitively, it is the distance between S and its best matching location in T.



#### **Shapelet-based Classification**

8.7

7.9

4.2

3.4

- 1. Represent a TS as a vector of distances with representative subsequences, namely shapelets.
- 2. Shapelet are sued to transform a dataset and to use the transformed version as input for machine learning classifiers.





#### **Time Series Shapelets**

- Shapelets are TS subsequences which are maximally representative of a class.
- Shapelets can provide interpretable results, which may help domain practitioners better understand their data.
- Shapelets can be significantly more accurate/robust because they are *local features*, whereas most other state-of-the-art TS classifiers consider *global features*.



#### **Shapelet Transform**

• The transformed dataset can be paired with any algorithm, like Decision Tree or kNN.



# Predictions

#### **Shapelet Extraction**

- Shapelet extraction can be performed in many different ways.
  - Random
  - Brute Force
  - Gradient-based
  - Genetic
  - etc.













### Testing The Utility of a Candidate Shapelet

- Arrange the TSs in the dataset *D* based on the distance from the candidate.
- Find the optimal split point that maximizes the information gain (same as for Decision Tree classifiers)
- Pick the candidate achieving best utility as the shapelet







- A TS dataset D consists of two classes, A and B.
- Given that the proportion of objects in class A is p(A) and the proportion of objects in class B is p(B),
- The **Entropy** of D is: I(D) = -p(A)log(p(A)) p(B)log(p(B)).
- Given a strategy that divides the D into two subsets D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>, the information remaining in the dataset after splitting is defined by the weighted average entropy of each subset.
- If the fraction of objects in  $D_1$  is  $f(D_1)$  and in  $D_2$  is  $f(D_2)$ ,
- The total entropy of D after splitting is  $\hat{I}(D) = f(D_1)I(D_1) + f(D_2)I(D_2)$ .

## **Information Gain**



- Given a certain split strategy sp which divides D into two subsets D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>, the entropy before and after splitting is I(D) and Î(D).
- The **information gain** for this splitting rule is:
- Gain(sp) = I(D) Î(D) =

• 
$$= I(D) - f(D_1)I(D_1) + f(D_2)I(D_2).$$

• We use the distance from *T* to a shapelet *S* as the splitting rule *sp*.

Split point distance from shapelet = 5.1



#### Problem

• The total number of candidate is

 $\sum_{l=MINLEN}^{MAXLEN} \sum_{T_i \in D} (|T_i| - l + 1)$ 

- For each candidate you have to compute the distance between this candidate and each training sample
- For instance
  - 200 instances with length 275
  - 7,480,200 shapelet candidates

#### Speedup

- Distance calculations form TSs to shapelet candidates is expensive.
- Reduce the time in two ways
- Distance Early Abandon
  - reduce the distance computation time between two TS
- Admissible Entropy Pruning
  - reduce the number of distance calculations



#### **Distance Early Abandon**

- We only need the minimum distance.
- Method
  - Keep the best-so-far distance
  - Abandon the calculation if the current distance is larger than best-so-far.



## Admissible Entropy Pruning

- We only need the best shapelet for each class
- For a candidate shapelet
  - We do not need to calculate the distance for each training sample
  - After calculating some training samples, the upper bound of information gain < best candidate shapelet
  - Stop calculation
  - Try next candidate



## **Shapelet Summary**

- 1. Extract all possible subsequences of a set given lengths (candidate shapelets)
- 2. For each candidate shapelet
  - Calculate the distance with each time series keeping the minimum distance (best alignment)
  - 2. Evaluate the discriminatory effect of the shapelet through the Information Gain
- 3. Return the *k* best shapelets with the highest Information Gain.
- 4. Transform a dataset and train a ML model.



#### **Gradient-based Shapelet Extraction**

 The minimum distances (M) between Ts and Shapelets can be used as predictors to approximate the TSs label (Y) using a linear model (W):

$$\hat{Y}_i = W_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K M_{i,k} W_k, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, I\}$$

• A logistic regression loss can measure the quality of the prediction:

$$\mathcal{L}(Y, \hat{Y}) = -Y \ln \sigma(\hat{Y}) - (1 - Y) \ln \left(1 - \sigma(\hat{Y})\right)$$

• The objective is to minimize a regularized loss function across all the instances (I) :

$$\underset{S,W}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \mathcal{F}(S,W) = \underset{S,W}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mathcal{L}(Y_i, \hat{Y}_i) + \lambda_W ||W||^2$$

• We can find the optimal shapelet for the objective function in a NN fashion by updating the shapelets in the minimum direction of the objective, hence the first gradient. Similarly, the weights can be jointly updated towards minimizing the objective function.

#### Motif/Shapelet Summary

• A **motif** is a repeated pattern/subsequence in a given TS.

 A shapelet is a pattern/subsequence which is maximally representative of a class with respect to a given dataset of TSs.



#### References

- Matrix Profile I: All Pairs Similarity Joins for Time Series: A Unifying View that Includes Motifs, Discords and Shapelets. Chin-Chia Michael Yeh et al. 1997
- Time Series Shapelets: A New Primitive for Data Mining. Lexiang Ye and Eamonn Keogh. 2016.
- Josif Grabocka, Nicolas Schilling, Martin Wistuba, Lars Schmidt-Thieme (2014): Learning Time-Series Shapelets, in Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2014
- Deep learning for time series classication: a review. Hassan Ismail Fawaz et al. 2019.

#### Matrix Profile I: All Pairs Similarity Joins for Time Series A Unifying View that Includes Motifs, Discords and Shapelets

Chin-Chia Michael Yeh, Yan Zhu, Liudmila Ulanova, Nurjahan Begum, Yifei Ding Hoang Anlı Dau, "Diego Furtado Silva, "Abdullah Mueen, and Eamonn Keogh University of California, Riverside, 'Universidade de Sto Puno, 'University of New Mexico 0515, hiatoll, a haegdol, yángdor, haduod] igiduc edu, dagodigican cap de, mesenjiwam, edu, esano

Atorez. The adjustrivalization-search for similarity joint problem has been extensively stunding for set and a handler and an similarity into the datastic parter of the datastic set and the datastic parter of proprior prohably stars from the proprior prohably stars for the data the following downtary from the proprior prohably stars from the product pro-tom the data the proprior probably stars from the product pro-tom the data the proprior probably stars from the product pro-tom the proprior probably stars from the product pro-tom the proprior probably stars from the product pro-tom the product product pro-tom the product probably stars from the product pro-tom the product probably stars from the product pro-tom the product product pro-tom the product pro-tom the product pro-tom the product pro-tom the product product product pro-tom the product pro-tom the product product pro-tom the proarguitude speedup. In this work we introduce a algorithm for time series subsequence all-pairs. h. For exceptionally large datasets, the algorithm cast as an anytime algorithm and produce hightuning spatial access methods and/or hash function approximate solutions in reasonable time. The exnality approximate inductions in reasonable time. The exact militarity join algorithm computes the aurover to the *three series total* and *three series discord* problem as a suide-effect, and our algorithm incidentally provide the fastest known algorithm for oth three extensively-tradied problems. We demonstrate the fillity of our ideas for many time series data mining problems. emantic segmentation, density estimation, and contrast set mining.

Keywords-Time Series; Similarity Joins; Motif Discovery I. INTRODUCTION

The all-pairs-similarity-search (also known as similarity ()) problem comes in several variants. The basic task is this: on a collection of data objects, retrieve the nearest neighbor each object. In the text domain the algorithm has ions in a host of problems, including o y. duplicate detection, collaborative filtering g, and query refinement [1]. While virtually all tex-ng algorithms have analogues in time series dat there has been superisingly little progress on Tim absoquences All-Pairs-Similarity-Search (TSAPSS).

We believe that this lack of progress stems not from a lack interest in this useful primitive, but from the daunting nature t interest in this useful primitive, but from the daunting natur f the problem. Consider the following example that reflects th ceds of an industrial collaborator. A boiler at a chemica ice a minute. After a year, we have me series of length \$25,600. A plant manager may wish to do similarity self-join on this data with week-long subsequences 80) to discover operating regimes (summer vs. winter or distillate vs. heavy distillate etc.) The obvious nested loop ithm requires 132,880,692,960 Euclidean distance unstions. If we assume each one takes 0.0001 seconds. requires 132,880,092,900 ns. If we assume each one n will take 153.8 days. The ten the join will take 153.8 days. The core contribution of the ork is to show that we can reduce this time to 6.3 hours, usin a off-the-shelf desktop computer. Moreover, we show that the ted and/or updated incre stain this join essentially forever on a standard

This is the author's version of an article published in Data Mining and ated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s

#### Deep learning for time series classification

Hassan Ismail Fawaz<sup>1</sup> · Germain Forestier<sup>1,2</sup> · Jonathan W Lhassane Idoumghar<sup>1</sup> → Pierre-Alain Muller<sup>1</sup>

Abstract Time Series Classification (TSC) is an important and challe With the increase of time series data availability, hundreds of TSC a Among these methods, only a few have considered Deep Neural Net task. This is surprising as deep learning has seen very successful applihave indeed revolutionized the field of computer vision especially w architectures such as Residual and Convolutional Neural Networks. data such as text and audio can also be processed with DNNs to reac for document classification and speech recognition. In this article, the art performance of deep learning algorithms for TSC by present most recent DNN architectures for TSC. We give an overview of the applications in various time series domains under a unified taxonor provide an open source deep learning framework to the TSC commun of the compared approaches and evaluated them on a univariate TS archive) and 12 multivariate time series datasets. By training 8,73 time series datasets, we propose the most exhaustive study of DNNs

Keywords Deep learning · Time series · Classification · Review

#### 1 Introduction

During the last two decades, Time Series Classification (TSC) has been considered as one of the most challenging problems in data mining (Yang and Wu, 2006; Esling and Agon, 2012). With the increase of temporal data availability (Silva et al., 2018), hundreds of TSC algorithms have been proposed since 2015 (Bagnall et al., 2017). Due to their natural temporal ordering, time series data are present in almost every task that requires some sort of human cognitive process (Längkvist et al., 2014). In fact, any classification problem, using data that is registered taking into account some notion of ordering, can be cast as a TSC problem (Cristian Borges Gamboa, 2017). Time series are encountered in many real-world applications ranging from electronic health records (Raikoma al., 2018) and human activity recognition (Nweke et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018) to acoustic scen classification (Nwe et al., 2017) and cyber-security (Susto et al., 2018). In addition, the diversity of the datasets' types in the UCR/UEA archive (Chen et al., 2015b; Ba all et al., 2017) (the larges repository of time series datasets) shows the different applications of the TSC problem

⊠ H. Ismail Fawaz E-mail: hassan.ismail-fawaz@uha.fr <sup>1</sup>IRIMAS, Université Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France <sup>2</sup>Faculty of IT, Monash University, Melbourne, Australi

It is exact, providing no false positives or false dismiss It is simple and parameter-free. In contrast, the more general metric space APSS algorithms require building and

Our algorithm requires an inconsequential space overhead t O(n) with a small constant factor While our exact algorithm is extremely scalable extremely large datasets we can compute the results in a anytime fashion, allowing ultra-fast approximate solutions anytime fishion, allowing ultra-fast approximate solutions: Having computed the similarity join for a datatext. we can incrementally update it very efficiently. In many domains this means we can effectively maintain exact joins on extensing data forever. Our method provides *full* joins, eliminating the need to mentify a simplicity themethod having a num affective.

specify a similarity threshold, which as we will show, is a near impossible task in this domain. Our algorithm is embarrassingly parallelizable, both on multicore processors radio distributed

ABSTRACT

General Terms

INTRODUCTION

Lexiang Ye

ADSTRACT Classification of time series has been attracting great interest over the past decide. Recent empirical evidence has strongly suggested that the simple nearest neighbor algorithm is very difficult to beat for most time series problems. While this may be considered good

news, given the simplicity of implementing the nearest neighbo algorithm, there are some negative consequences of this. First, th

earest neighbor algorithm requires storing and searching th

me series shapelet primitives can be interpretable, more accura

While the last decade has seen a large interest in time series classification, to date the most accurate and robust method is th simple nearest neighbor algorithm [4][12][14]. While the nearest neighbor algorithm has the advantages of simplicity and no requiring extensive parameter tuning, in doos have severe

quirements, and the fact that it does not tell us anything about ity a particular object was assigned to a particular class.

mission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work fi

IDD '09. June 29-July 1, 2009, Paris, France 200 '09. June 29-July 1, 2009, Paris, France 55.00

mentl: Database Applications - D

ntire dataset, resulting in a time and space comple ts applicability, especially on resource-limited se sevend mere classification accuracy, we often wish might into the data. In this work we introduce a new time series *hapeliets*, which addresses these limitations. Informally, s re time series subsequences which are in some sense m expresentative of a class. As we shall show with e upprical evaluations in diverse domains, algorithms base

and significantly faster than state-of-the-art classifier

Categories and Subject Descriptors

important disadvantages. Chief among these are it

Time Series Shapelets: A New Primitive for Data Mining

Eamonn Keogh Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 lexiangy@cs.ucr.edu Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering University of California, Riverside, CA 9252 eamonn@cs.ucr.edu

> Because we are defining and solving a new problem, we will tal some time to consider a detailed motivating example. Figure shows some examples of leaves from two classes, Urtica di (stinging netiles) and Verbana articifolia. These two plants annouly confused, hence the colloquial name "false nett

Figure 1: Samples of leaves from two species. Note that sever leaves have the insect-bite damage uppose we wish to build a classifier to distinguish th lants: what features should we use? Since the intra-varia

color and size within each class completely dwarfs the in riability between classes, our best hope is based on th the leaves. However, as we can see in Figure 1, the did the global shape are very subtle. Furthermore, it common for leaves to have distortions or "occlusions" due to insect damage, and these are likely to confuse any globa measures of shape. Instead we attempt the following. We first convert each leaf into a one-dimensional representation as show



Figure 2: A shape can be converted into a one o series" representation. The reason for the highligh-time series will be made apparent shortly

ntations have been succe years [8]. However, here we find that using a near ssuffer with either the (rotation invariant) Euclidean distance o mamic Time Warping (DTW) distance does not significant tperform random guessing. The reason for the poo

In this work we present a novel time series data mining primitive called *time arrive abaptetist*. Informally, shapelets are time series volvequences which are in some maximally representative of a class. While we believe shapelets can have many uses in data mining, one dvirous implication of them is to mitigate the two weaknesses of the mearst neighbor algorithm noted above. personal or classecom use is granted without the provincen mar co<sub>pers</sub> are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full classics on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lines, remains units uncefic permutation and/or a fee. to be due to the fact that the data is somewhat noisy (i.e. insec bites, and different stem lengths), and this noise is enough to vann the subtle differences in the shanes

#### References

- Selective review of offline change point detection methods. Truong, C., Oudre, L., & Vayatis, N. (2020). Signal Processing, 167, 107299.
- Time Series Analysis and Its Applications. Robert H. Shumway and David S. Stoffer. 4<sup>th</sup> edition.(<u>https://www.stat.pitt.edu/stoffer/tsa4/tsa4.pdf</u>)
- Mining Time Series Data. Chotirat Ann Ratanamahatana et al. 2010. (<u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227001229</u> <u>Mining\_Time\_Series\_Data</u>)
- Dynamic Programming Algorithm Optimization for Spoken Word Recognition. Hiroaki Sakode et al. 1978.
- Experiencing SAX: a Novel Symbolic Representation of Time Series. Jessica Line et al. 2009
- Compression-based data mining of sequential data. Eamonn Keogh et al. 2007.



D Springer

With R Examples

Fourth Edition

# TSC State-of-The-Art

A special thank to Francesco Spinnato for the slides



#### ResNet

- Three consecutive blocks, comprised of three convolutional layers, connected by residual 'shortcut' connections.
- The blocks are followed by global average pooling and softmax layers to form features and subsequent predictions.



#### **Convolution Layer**



#### activation map



### **Pooling Layer**

- Makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- Operates over each activation map independently



#### MaxPooling and AvgPoling



#### InceptionTime

Neural network ensemble consisting of five Inception networks. For each inception network:

- three Inception modules (6 blocks by default)
- global averaging pooling
- fully-connected layer with the softmax activation function.

Each Inception module consists of convolutions with kernels of several sizes followed by batch normalization and the rectified linear unit activation function.

#### InceptionTime



#### TapNet

Draws on the strengths of both traditional and deep learning approaches:

- deep learning approaches -> excel at learning low dimensional features without the need for embedded domain knowledge, whereas
- traditional approaches -> work well on small datasets.

#### 3 distinct modules:

- Random Dimension Permutation: produce groups of randomly selected dimensions with the intention of increasing the likelihood of learning how combinations of dimension values effect class value.
- Multivariate Time Series Encoding:
  - 3 sets of 1d convolutional layers followed by batch normalisation
  - the raw data is also passed through an LSTM and global pooling layer
- Attentional Prototype Learning: used for unlabelled data

#### TapNet



#### Canonical Interval Forest (CIF)

Ensemble of time series tree classifiers built using the 22 Canonical Time-Series Characteristics (Catch22) features and simple summary statistics (mean, stdev, slope).

For each tree, CIF:

- samples k time series intervals of random position and length;
- subsamples 8 of the 25 features randomly;
- calculates the features for each interval, concatenates them to form a new data set;
- builds a decision tree on the feature-transformed dataset.

#### ROCKET

ROCKET (Random Convolutional Kernel Transform) uses a large number of <u>random</u> convolutional kernels to transform the time series:

- all the parameters of all the kernels are randomly generated from fixed distributions;
- the transformed features are used to train a linear classifier (Logistic Regression or Ridge Regression Classifier);
- the combination of Rocket and logistic regression forms a single-layer convolution with random kernel weights with a trained softmax layer.



#### ROCKET vs. CNN

CNNs use <u>trainable</u> filters/kernels optimized by stochastic gradient descent to find patterns in the input data. Rocket differs in the following ways:

- Only a <u>single</u> layer containing a very large number of <u>random</u> kernels.
- Variety of kernels: each kernel has random length, dilation, and padding, weights and biases.



#### **Dilated Convolution Kernels**



#### ROCKET vs. CNN

- In CNNs kernel <u>dilation increases exponentially with depth</u>. Rocket sample dilation randomly for each kernel, capturing patterns at different frequencies and scales.
- Rocket uses the maximum value of the resulting feature maps (~global max pooling), and the proportion of positive values (proportion of the input which matches a given pattern).
- The only hyperparameter for Rocket is the number of kernels, k.
  - *k* handles the trade-off between classification accuracy and computation time

#### MINIROCKET

MiniRocket removes almost all randomness from Rocket, and dramatically speeds up the transform.

- Length: uses kernels of length 9.
- Weights: restricted to two values,  $\alpha = -1$  and  $\beta = 2$ .
- Kernels: there are 512 possible two-valued kernels of length 9. Only subset of 84 is used.
- Bias: drawn from the quantiles of the convolution output for the entire training set (rather than a single, randomly-selected training example)
- Dilation: Each kernel is assigned the same fixed set of dilations, adjusted to the length of the input time series. The maximum number of dilations per kernel is 32
- Padding: half the kernel/dilation combinations use padding, and half do not.
- Features: only proportion of positive values.

### COTE / HIVE-COTE / TS-CHIEF

- Collective of Transformation-Based Ensembles (COTE) combines 35 classifiers over four data representations (similarity measures, shapelet-transform, autocorrelation features, power spectrum).
- Hierarchical Vote Collective of Transformation-Based Ensembles (HIVE-COTE) is an extension of COTE including more classifiers and a <u>hierarchical voting procedure</u>.
- Time Series Combination of Heterogeneous and Integrated Embedding Forest (TS-CHIEF) builds a random forest of decision trees whose splitting functions are time series specific and based on similarity measures, dictionary (bag-of-words) representations, and interval-based transformations.

#### **MR-SEQL**

- The data is discretized into sequences of words via either Symbolic Aggregate Approximation (SAX) or SFA, using a sliding window.
- The most discriminative symbols are extracted using a SEQuence Learner algorithm.
- The dataset is transformed in presence/absence of subsequences (similar to a shapelet transform)
- A linear (interpretable) model is trained on this new representation



#### **MR-SEQL**



#### Ranking Multivariate TSC algorithms



**Fig. 10** Average difference in accuracy to  $DTW_D$  versus train time for 9 MTSC algorithms

#### Ranking Multivariate TSC algorithms











(**b**) AUROC



(**d**) F1

#### References

- [1] A. Shifaz, C. Pelletier, F. Petitjean, and G. I. Webb, "TS-CHIEF: a scalable and accurate forest algorithm for time series classification," *Data Min Knowl Disc*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 742–775, May 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10618-020-00679-8.
- [2] A. Bagnall, M. Flynn, J. Large, J. Lines, and M. Middlehurst, "On the Usage and Performance of the Hierarchical Vote Collective of Transformation-Based Ensembles Version 1.0 (HIVE-COTE v1.0)," in Advanced Analytics and Learning on Temporal Data, vol. 12588, V. Lemaire, S. Malinowski, A. Bagnall, T. Guyet, R. Tavenard, and G. Ifrim, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 3–18. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-65742-0\_1.
- [3] T. Le Nguyen, S. Gsponer, I. Ilie, M. O'Reilly, and G. Ifrim, "Interpretable time series classification using linear models and multi-resolution multi-domain symbolic representations," *Data Min Knowl Disc*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1183–1222, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10618-019-00633-3.
- [4] Z. Wang, W. Yan, and T. Oates, "Time series classification from scratch with deep neural networks: A strong baseline," in 2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), May 2017, pp. 1578–1585. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2017.7966039.
- [5] M. Middlehurst, J. Large, and A. Bagnall, "The Canonical Interval Forest (CIF) Classifier for Time Series Classification," in 2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), Dec. 2020, pp. 188–195. doi: 10.1109/BigData50022.2020.9378424.
- [6] A. Dempster, D. F. Schmidt, and G. I. Webb, "MINIROCKET: A Very Fast (Almost) Deterministic Transform for Time Series Classification," *Proceedings of the 27th* ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, pp. 248–257, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1145/3447548.3467231.
- [7] A. Dempster, F. Petitjean, and G. I. Webb, "ROCKET: Exceptionally fast and accurate time series classification using random convolutional kernels," *Data Min Knowl Disc*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1454–1495, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10618-020-00701-z.
- [8] J. Faouzi, "Time Series Classification: A review of Algorithms and Implementations," *Machine Learning*, p. 35.
- [9] A. P. Ruiz, M. Flynn, J. Large, M. Middlehurst, and A. Bagnall, "The great multivariate time series classification bake off: a review and experimental evaluation of recent algorithmic advances," *Data Min Knowl Disc*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 401–449, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10618-020-00727-3.
- [10] H. I. Fawaz et al., "InceptionTime: Finding AlexNet for Time Series Classification," Data Min Knowl Disc, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1936–1962, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10618-020-00710-y.