DATA MINING 2 Advanced Clustering

Riccardo Guidotti

a.a. 2021/2022



K-Means Extensions Bisecting K-Means

Bisecting K-means

- Variant of K-Means that can produce a hierarchical clustering
- The number of clusters K must be specified.
- Start with a unique cluster containing all the points.
 - 1: Initialize the list of clusters to contain the cluster containing all points.

2: repeat

- 3: Select the cluster with the highest SSE to the list of clusters
- 4: for i = 1 to number_of_iterations do
- 5: Bisect the selected cluster using basic 2-Means
- 6: end for
- 7: Add the two clusters from the bisection to the list of clusters.
- 8: until Until the list of clusters contains K clusters

Bisecting K-means Limitations

- The algorithm can be also exhaustive and terminating at a singleton clusters if K is not specified.
- Terminating at singleton clusters
 - Is time consuming
 - Singleton clusters are meaningless (i.e., over-splitting)
 - Intermediate clusters are more likely to correspond to real classes

• Bisecting K-Means do not use any criterion for stopping bisections before singleton clusters are reached.

K-Means Extensions

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

- A strategy to stop the Bisecting algorithm when meaningful clusters are reached to avoid over-splitting.
- The **BIC** can be adopted as **splitting criterion** of a cluster in order to decide whether a cluster should split or no.
- BIC measures the improvement of the cluster structure between a cluster and its two children clusters.
- If the BIC of the parent is less than BIC of the children than we accept the bisection.



X-Means

For k in a given range $[r_1, r_{max}]$:

- 1. Improve Params: run K-Means with with the current k.
- 2. Improve Structure: recursively split each cluster in two (Bisecting 2-Means) and use *local BIC* to decide to keep the split. Stop if the current structure does not respect *local BIC* or the number of clusters is higher than r_{max} .
- 3. Store the actual configuration with a global BIC calculated on the whole configuration
- 4. If $k > r_{max}$ stop and return the best model w.r.t. the *global BIC*.

X-Means

1. K-means with k=3



2. Split each centroid in 2 children moved a distance proportional to the region size in opposite direction (random)



3. Run 2-means in each region locally





BIC Formula in X-Means

• The BIC score of a data collection is defined as (Kass and Wasserman, 1995):

$$BIC(M_{j}) = \hat{l}_{j}(D) - \frac{p_{j}}{2}\log R$$

- $l_j(D)$ is the log-likelihood of the dataset D
- *p_j* is a function of the number of independent parameters: centroids coordinates, variance estimation.
- *R* is the number of points of a cluster, M is the number of dimensions
- Approximate the probability that the clustering in M_j is describing the real clusters in the data

BIC Formula in X-Means

- Adjusted Log-likelihood of the model.
- The likelihood that the data is "explained by" the clusters according to the spherical-Gaussian assumption of K-Means

$$BIC(M_{j}) = \hat{l}_{j}(D) - \frac{p_{j}}{2}\log R$$

• Focusing on the set D_n of points which belong to centroid n

$$\hat{l}(D_n) = -\frac{R_n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{R_n \cdot M}{2}\log(\hat{\sigma}^2) - \frac{R_n - K}{2} + R_n \log R_n - R_n \log R$$

• It estimates how closely to the centroid are the points of the cluster.

K-Means Origins Expectation Maximization

Model-based Clustering (probabilistic)

- In order to understand our data, we will assume that there is a generative process (a model) that creates/describes the data, and we will try to find the model that **best fits** the data.
 - Models of different complexity can be defined, but we will assume that our model is a distribution from which data points are sampled
 - Example: the data is the height of all people in Greece
- In most cases, a single distribution is not good enough to describe all data points: different parts of the data follow a different distribution
 - Example: the data is the height of all people in Greece and China
 - We need a mixture model
 - Different distributions correspond to different clusters in the data.

Expectation Maximization Algorithm

- Initialize the values of the parameters in Θ to some random values
- Repeat until convergence
 - E-Step: Given the parameters Θ estimate the membership probabilities $P(G_i|x_i)$
 - **M-Step:** Given the probabilities $P(G_j | x_i)$, calculate the parameter values Θ that (in expectation) **maximize** the data likelihood

• Examples

- E-Step: Assignment of points to clusters
 - K-Means: hard assignment, EM: soft assignment
- M-Step: Parameters estimation
 - K-Means: Computation of centroids, EM: Computation of the new model parameters

EM in K-Means



 Initialize the values of the parameters in Θ to some random values (randomly select the centroids)

- Repeat until convergence
 - E-Step: Given the parameters Θ (given the centroids) estimate the membership probabilities $P(G_j | x_i)$ (assign points to clusters based on distances with the centroids)
 - **M-Step:** Given the probabilities $P(G_j | x_i)$ (given the membership of points to clusters, i.e., 100% probability of belonging to a cluster) calculate the parameter values Θ that (in expectation) **maximize** the data likelihood (calculate the new centroids as mean values, i.e., those that minimize the distances with the other points in the cluster)

Expectation Maximization Algorithm

Algorithm 9.2 EM algorithm.

- 1: Select an initial set of model parameters.
 - (As with K-means, this can be done randomly or in a variety of ways.)
- 2: repeat
- 3: **Expectation Step** For each object, calculate the probability that each object belongs to each distribution, i.e., calculate $prob(distribution \ j | \mathbf{x}_i, \Theta)$.
- 4: **Maximization Step** Given the probabilities from the expectation step, find the new estimates of the parameters that maximize the expected likelihood.
- 5: **until** The parameters do not change.

(Alternatively, stop if the change in the parameters is below a specified threshold.)

K-Means Brother Mixture Gaussian Model

- Example: the data is the height of all people in Greece
- Experience has shown that this data follows a Gaussian (Normal) distribution

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• μ = mean, σ = standard deviation

Mixture Gaussian Model

- What is a model?
 - A Gaussian distribution is defined by the mean μ and the standard deviation σ
 - We define our model as the pair of parameters $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$
- More generally, a model is defined as a vector of parameters θ
- We want to find the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma)$ that best fits our data
 - Find the best values for μ and σ
 - But what does "best fit" mean?

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

- Suppose that we have a vector $X = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ of values
- We want to fit a Gaussian model $N(\mu, \sigma)$ to the data
- Probability of observing a point x_i

$$P(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• Probability of observing all points (we assume independence)

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• We want to find the parameters $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$ that maximizes the probability $P(X|\theta)$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

• The probability $P(X|\theta)$ as a function of θ is the **Likelihood** function

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• It is usually easier to work with the Log-Likelihood function

$$LL(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}n\log 2\pi - n\log \sigma$$

• Thus, the Maximum Likelihood Estimation for the Gaussian Model consists in finding the parameters μ , σ that maximize $LL(\theta)$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \mu_X$$
Sample Mean
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sigma_X^2$$
Sample Variance

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

• Note: these are also the most likely parameters given the data.

$$P(\theta|X) = \frac{P(X|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(X)}$$

• If we have no prior information about θ , or X, then maximizing $P(\theta|X)$ is the same as maximizing $P(X|\theta)$.

Mixture of Gaussians

• Suppose that you have the heights of people from Greece and China and the distribution looks like the figure below (dramatization)



(a) Probability density function for the mixture model.

(b) 20,000 points generated from the mixture model.

Figure 9.2. Mixture model consisting of two normal distributions with means of -4 and 4, respectively. Both distributions have a standard deviation of 2.

Mixture of Gaussians

- In this case the data is the result of the mixture of two Gaussians
 - One for Greek people, and one for Chinese people
 - Identifying for each value which Gaussian is most likely to have generated it will give us a clustering.



Figure 9.2. Mixture model consisting of two normal distributions with means of -4 and 4, respectively. Both distributions have a standard deviation of 2.

Mixture Model

- A value x_i is generated according to the following process:
 - First select the nationality
 - With probability π_G select Greek, with probability π_C select China ($\pi_G + \pi_C = 1$)
 - Given the nationality, generate the point from the corresponding Gaussian
 - $P(x_i|\theta_G) \sim N(\mu_G, \sigma_G)$ if Greece
 - $P(x_i | \theta_C) \sim N(\mu_C, \sigma_C)$ if China



- For all values $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ $P(X|\Theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|\Theta)$
- We want to estimate the parameters that maximize the Likelihood

Mixture Model

- Once we have the parameters $\theta = (\pi_G, \pi_C, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \mu_C, \sigma_C)$, we can **estimate** the **membership probabilities** $P(G|x_i)$ and $P(C|x_i)$ for each point x_i :
- This is the probability that point x_i belongs to the Greek or the Chinese population (cluster)

$$P(G|x_i) = \frac{P(x_i|G)P(G)}{P(x_i|G)P(G) + P(x_i|C)P(C)}$$
$$= \frac{P(x_i|G)\pi_G}{P(x_i|G)\pi_G + P(x_i|C)\pi_C}$$

Mixture of Gaussians as EM

- Initialize the values of the parameters in θ to some random values
- Repeat until convergence
 - **E-Step**: Given the parameters Θ **estimate** the membership probabilities $P(G|x_i)$ and $P(C|x_i)$.
 - **M-Step:** Calculate the parameter values Θ that (in expectation) **maximize** the data likelihood.

$$\pi_{G} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(G|x_{i}) \qquad \pi_{C} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(C|x_{i}) \qquad \text{Fraction of population in G,C}$$

$$\mu_{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P(C|x_{i})}{n * \pi_{C}} x_{i} \qquad \mu_{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P(G|x_{i})}{n * \pi_{G}} x_{i} \qquad \text{MLE Estimates if } \pi \text{'s were fixed}$$

$$\sigma_{C}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P(C|x_{i})}{n * \pi_{C}} (x_{i} - \mu_{C})^{2} \qquad \sigma_{G}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P(G|x_{i})}{n * \pi_{G}} (x_{i} - \mu_{G})^{2}$$

DBSCAN Evolution OPTICS

When DBSCAN Works Well



Original Points

Clusters

- Resistant to Noise
- Can handle clusters of different shapes and sizes

When DBSCAN Does NOT Work Well



Original Points

- Varying densities
- High-dimensional data



(MinPts=4, Eps=9.75).



(MinPts=4, Eps=9.92)

OPTICS

- OPTICS: Ordering Points To Identify the Clustering Structure
 - Produces a special order of the dataset wrt its density-based clustering structure.
 - This cluster-ordering contains info equivalent to the density-based clusterings corresponding to a broad range of parameter settings.
 - Good for both automatic and interactive cluster analysis, including finding intrinsic clustering structure.
 - Can be represented graphically or using visualization techniques.

OPTICS: Extension from DBSCAN

- OPTICS requires two parameters:
 - ε, which describes the maximum distance (radius) to consider,
 - MinPts, describing the number of points required to form a cluster
- Core point. A point *p* is a core point if at least MinPts points are found within its εneighborhood.
- **Core Distance**. It is the **minimum** value of radius required to classify a given point as a core point. If the given point is not a Core point, then it's Core Distance is undefined.



OPTICS: Extension from DBSCAN

- Reachability Distance. The reachability distance between a point *p* and *q* is the maximum of the Core Distance of *p* and the Distance between p and q.
- The Reachability Distance is not defined if *q* is not a Core point. Below is the example of the Reachability Distance.
- In other words, if *q* is within the core distance of *p* then use the core distance, otherwise the real distance.



OPTICS Pseudo-Code

- For each point *p* in the dataset
 - Initialize the reachability distance of *p* as undefined
- For each unprocessed point *p* in the dataset
 - Get the neighbors N of p
 - Mark *p* as processed and output to the *ordered list*
 - If *p* is a core point
 - Initialize a priority queue Q to get the closest point to p in terms of reachability
 - Call the function update(N, p, Q)
 - For each point q in Q
 - Get the neighbors N' of q
 - Mark q as processed and output to the ordered list
 - If q is a core point Call the function update(N', q, Q)

OPTICS Pseudo-Code

- Function *update(N, p, Q)*
 - Calculate the core distance for *p*
 - For each neighbor q in N (update the reachability)
 - If q is not processed
 - *new_rd* = reachability distance between *p* and *q*
 - If q is not in Q
 - Q.insert(q, new_rd)
 - Else
 - If new_rd < q.rd
 - Q.move_up(q, new_rd)

- OPTICS outputs the points in a particular ordering, annotated with their smallest reachability distance.
- A reachability-plot (a special kind of dendrogram), the hierarchical structure of the clusters can be obtained easily.
- x-axis: the ordering of the points as processed by OPTICS
- y-axis: the reachability distance
- Points belonging to a cluster have a low reachability distance to their nearest neighbor, the clusters show up as valleys in the reachability plot. The deeper the valley, the denser the cluster.

OPTICS Output



OPTICS Output

- Clusters are extracted
 - 1. by selecting a range on the x-axis after visual inspection,
 - 2. by selecting a threshold on the y-axis
 - 3. by different algorithms that try to detect the valleys by steepness, knee detection, or local maxima. Clustering obtained this way usually are hierarchical, and cannot be achieved by a single DBSCAN run.



https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/cluster/plot_optics.html#sphx-glr-auto-examples-cluster-plot-optics-py



Cluster-order of the objects

OPTICS: The Radius Parameter

- Both core-distance and reachability-distance are undefined if no sufficiently dense cluster (w.r.t. ϵ) is available.
- Given a sufficiently large ε, this never happens, but then every εneighborhood query returns the entire database.
- Hence, the ε parameter is required to cut off the density of clusters that are no longer interesting, and to speed up the algorithm.
- The parameter ε is, strictly speaking, not necessary.
- It can simply be set to the maximum possible value.
- When a spatial index is available, however, it does play a practical role with regards to complexity.
- OPTICS abstracts from DBSCAN by removing this parameter, at least to the extent of only having to give the maximum value.

References

- Advanced Clustering. Chapter 9.2.2. Introduction to Data Mining.
- Pelleg, Dan, and Andrew W. Moore. "X-means: Extending k-means with efficient estimation of the number of clusters." 2000.
- Mihael Ankerst; Markus M. Breunig; Hans-Peter Kriegel; Jörg Sander (1999). OPTICS: Ordering Points To Identify the Clustering Structure.

Dan Pelleg Andrew Moor AWMRCS.CMU.ED School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 USA Abstract lutions for these problems. Speed is greatly improved by embedding the dataset in a multiresolution kd-tree Despite its popularity for general clustering and storing sufficient statistics at its nodes. A careful K-means suffers three major shortcoming analysis of the centroid locations allows for geometit scales poorly computationally, the num-ber of clusters K has to be supplied by the "proofs" about the Voronoi boundaries, and (un ike all of (Deng & Moore, 1995; Zhang et al., 1995; user, and the search is prone to local min Moore, 1999)) there is absolutely no approximation ima. We propose solutions for the first tw anywhere in the computation. An additional geomet problems, and a partial remedy for the third ic computation, blacklisting, maintains a list of just Building on prior work for algorithmic accel eration that is not based on approximation those centroids that need to be considered for a giver region (Pelleg & Moore, 2000). Blacklisting is not only we introduce a new algorithm that efficiently xtremely fast but also scales very well with the num searches the space of cluster locations and number of clusters to optimize the Bayesian ber of centroids, allowing tractable 10, 000-means algo-rithms. This fast algorithm is used as a building-block Information Criterion (BIC) or the Akaik X-means: a new algorithm that quickly estimate nformation Criterion (AIC) measure. The It goes into action after each run of K-means, mak innovations include two new ways of exploit ing local decisions about which subset of the current into vations include two new ways of exploit ing cached sufficient statistics and a new very efficient test that in one K-means sweep se oids should split themselves in order to better fit the data. The splitting decision is done by computing lects the most promising subset of classes for refinement. This gives rise to a fast, statistically founded algorithm that outputs both the number of classes and their parameters. Experiments show this technique reveals the Pergamon 0206-4279/00 \$20.00 ± 0.0 true number of classes in the underlying dis-tribution, and that it is much faster than repeatedly using accelerated K-means for dif ROCK: A ROBUST CLUSTERING ALGORITHM FOR CATEGORICAL erent values of K. ATTRIBUTES 1. Introduction SUDIPTO GUHA¹, RAJEEV RASTOGI², and KYUSEOK SHIM K-means (Duda & Hart, 1973; Bishop, 1995) has long been the workhorse for metric data. Its attractive-Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 USA Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA ness lies in its simplicity, and in its local-minimum convergence properties. It has, however, three main shortcomings. One, it is slow and scales poorly with ³Konsa Advanced Institute of Science and Technology and Advanced Information Technology Research Center Taejon 305-701, Korea Clustering Individual Transactional Data for Masses of Users ution patterns in the underlyin Riccardo Guidotti Anna Monreale Mirco Nann .g., euclidean) similarity ISTI-CNR & University of Pisa, Italy University of Pisa, Italy ISTI-CNR, Pisa, Italy tion are more similar tha thms for data with boolean an riccardo.guidotti@isti.cnr.it anna.monreale@di.unipi.it mirco.nanni@isti.cnr.it Fosca Giannotti Dino Pedreschi CLOPE: A Fast and Effective Clustering Algorithm for ISTI-CNR Pisa Italy University of Pisa, Italy fosca.giannotti@isti.cnr.i dino.pedreschi@di.unipi.i Transactional Data ABSTRACT from other users. This requires that Xudong Guan Jinyuan You Yiling Yang included in any data mining meth-Mining a large number of datasets recording human activities for Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering., Shanghai Jiao Tong University Shanghai, 20030, P.R.China +86-21-52581638 making sense of individual data is the key enabler of a new way the necessity to automatically capit vidual behaviors. Due to the poter of personalized knowledge-based services. In this paper we focus on the problem of clustering individual transactional data for a (e.g. users in nowadays massive sy generally unfeasible to determine in {yang-yl, guan-xd, you-jy}@cs.sjtu.edu.cn large mass of users. Transactional data is a very pervasive kind of parameter configuration for each of information that is collected by several services, often involving focus data mining methods that adju huge pools of users. We propose txmeans, a parameter-free clus tering algorithm able to efficiently partitioning transactional data characteristics of the dataset under a personalized patterns from transact in a completely automatic way. Tx means is designed for the cas The Largeltern [13] algorithm groups large categorie ABSTRACT In this paper we focus on the p where clustering must be applied on a massive number of different This paper studies the problem of categorical data clustering, especially for transactional data characterized by high dimensionality and large volume. Starting from a heuristic method by iterative optimization of a global criterion function. The tional clustering for a large number criterion function is based on the notion of large item that is the item in a cluster having occurrence rates larger than a user-defined asets, for instance when a large set of users need to be analyze item in a cluster having occurrence rates larger than a user-defined parameter minimum support. Computing the global criterion function is much faster than those local criterion functions defined collection of transactions, transacti individually and each of them has generated a long history of trans actions. A deep experimentation on both real and synthetic datase covering groups of homogeneous t of increasing the height-to-width ratio of the cluster histogram, algorithm - CLOPE, which is very fast being quite effective. We demonstrate common items [30]. In the state of develop a novel scalable, while shows the practical effectiveness of txmeans for the mass clustering on top of pair-wise similarities. This global approach make transactional clustering require eitl of different personal datasets, and suggests that txmeans outpe Largeltem very suitable for clustering large cates that is not automatic, or an extren performance of our algorithm on two real world datasets, and forms existing methods in terms of quality and efficiency. Finally, ompare CLOPE with the state-of-art algorithms In this paper, we propose a novel global criterion function that that does not scale to large user b we present a personal cart assistant application based on txmean repeatedly applying the existing pr ries to increase the intra-cluster overlapping of transaction item by increasing the height-to-width ratio of the cluster histogram. Moreover, we generalize the idea by introducing a parameter to control the tightness of the cluster. Different number of clusters lions of different datasets - which i Keyword 1 INTRODUCTION large population of users - is simp data mining, clustering, categorical data, scalability The most disruptive effect of our always-connected society is data problem, i.e., the separate individua can be obtained by varying this parameter. Experiments show that the digital breadcrumbs left behind us as a side effect of our everyday ransactional datasets, as mass clus our algorithm runs much faster than Largeltem, with clusterin usage of digital technologies. Thanks to these data, human activitie The problem to design parameter 1. INTRODUCTION v quite close to that of the ROCK ale are becoming observable, measurable, quantifiable and, predictable been addressed in the context of non Clustering is an important data mining technique that groups together similar data records [12, 14, 4, 1]. Recently, more attention has been put on clustering categorical data [10, 8, 6, 5, 7, To gain some basic idea behind our algorithm, let's take a small At individual level, each person generates more than 5Gb of data per year. An avalanche of information that, for the most part, consist: like xmeans [22], which are perfe narket basket database with 5 transactions {(apple, banana) of the clustering problems. Unfor (apple, banana, cake), (apple, cake, dish), (dish, egg), (dish, egg, fish)). For simplicity, transaction (apple, banana) is abbreviated to ab, etc. For this small database, we want to compare the following of transactions (or baskets), i.e., a special kind of categorical data applicable to transactional data. To 13], where records are made up of non-numerical attributes in the form of sets of event data, such as the items purchased in tional data, like market basket data and web usage data only existing parameter-free transac ransactional cata, nec market basket data and web usage data can be thought of a special type of categorical data having boolear value, with all the possible items as attributes. Fast and accurate a shopping cart, the web pages visited in a browsing session, the [5, 7]. Nevertheless, they are based two clustering (1) {{ab, abc, acd}, {de, def}} and (2) {{ab, abc songs listened in a time period, the clinical events in a patient generally not efficient and overestim (acd, de, def) }. For each cluster, we count the occur history. Such kind of data may be key enablers of a new waye of In addition they do not provide rene clustering of transactional data has many potential applications in distinct item, and then obtain the height (H) and width (W) of the knowledge-based services, and of new scientific discoveries items that characterize the transact retail industry, e-commerce intelligence, etc. cluster. For example, cluster (ab, abc, acd) has the occurrences o a:3, b:2, c:2, and d:1, with H=2.0 and W=4. Figure 1 shows these Several application contexts involve the analysis of a large nun In this paper we propose txmean However, fast and effective clustering of transactional databases i ber of datasets, each one characterized by different proping method providing a viable soluti results geometrically as histograms, with items sorted in revers extremely difficult because of the high dimensionality, sparsity order of their occurrences, only for the sake of easier visual instance, this is the case of individual transactional data - retail a massive number of different dat stratery similar to xmeans [22], bu based approaches like k-means [11] and CLARANS [12] are sales, web sessions, credit card transactions, etc. - where each strategy similar to xmeans [22], bu effective for low dimen user produces historical data that need to be analyzed separately finding clusters in the specific cont effective for fow unmensional numerical data. They performances on high dimensional categorical data, however, are often unsatisfactory [7]. Hierarchical clustering methods like ROCK [7] have been demonstrated to be quite effective in categorical data Txmeans overcomes the deficienc abe deacj sion to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for persona om use is stratted without fee presided that it automatically estimates the num classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distribute fee profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full clatic on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work overced by others than AC3 must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republis tracting the clusters, it provides th clustering, but they are naturally inefficient in proeach cluster, which summarizes the H-20 W-4 H-167 W-3 H-167 W-3 H-1.6 #= Txmeans employs a top-down divisi post on servers or to red ribute to lists, requires prior specific perm {ab, abc, acd} {de, def} {ab, abc} {acd, de, def} a unique cluster, and then iterati clustering (1) clustering (2) sub-clusters Trmeans calculates th centroids of the sub-clusters by add Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, regirters prior topedic permission and/or a for. Figure 1. Histograms of the two clusterings We judge the qualities of these two clusterings geometrically, by analyzing the heights and widths of the clusters. Leaving out the

PELLEGØCS CMU EDI

X-means: Extending K-means with Efficient Estimation of the Number of Clusters

> two identical histograms for cluster (de, def) and cluster (ab, abc) the other two histograms are of different quality. The histogram for cluster (ab, abc, acd) has only 4 distinct items for 8 blocks SIGKDD '02, July 23-26, 2002, Edmonton, Alberta, C Copyright 2002 ACM 1-58113-567-X/02/0007...55.0 (H=2.0, H/W=0.5), but the one for cluster {acd, de, def} has 5, fo