



Individual mobility laws and models

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

Understanding the laws of individual human mobility

- is there a typical traveling distance?
- can we profile individuals according to their mobility behavior?
- to what extent are humans predictable?
- are there typical mobility motifs?

Modelling individual human mobility

• What determines the decision to start a trip?

• What determines the choice of the destination?

• What determines the decision to come back home or to explore new locations?

• Can we generate realistic individual trajectories?



Travel distance (jump length)

Distance between two consecutive locations visited by a moving object

$$r = |\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|$$

Carth diatamaa







Travel distance probability

P(r) = probability of finding a trip of length $\,r\,$

A Pareto Distribution vs. a Gaussian Curve

A normal distribution (i.e., a Gaussian curve) is bell-shaped, whereas a Pareto distribution (i.e., power law) is shaped like a hockey stick with long tails.

What's the shape of this distribution?









Brockmann et al., 2006:

- Dollar bills: 464,670
- Records: 1,033,095
- Area: US (excluding Alaska and Hawaii)



Trajectories of bank notes originating from four different places with travelling time T < 14 days.

- Most bank notes are reported close the initial entry, $r \leq 10 km$
 - Seattle 53%, NYC 58%, Jacksonville 71%
- A small but **considerable** fraction is reported at large distances, r > 800 km
 - Seattle 8%, NYC 7%, Jacksonville 3%



Trajectories of bank notes originating from four different places with travelling time T < 14 days.

Probability of traversing a distance in 1-4 days (20,540 bills)

$$P(r) \sim r^{-(1+\beta)}$$

 $\beta = 0.59 \pm 0.02$



Measured P(r) of traversing a distance in less than T = 4 days. The inset shows P(r) for metropolitan areas, cities of intermediate size, small towns.



Mobile Phone Records

González et al., 2008:

- Dataset D1 (CDRs):
 - Users: 100,000
 - Records: 16,264,308
- Dataset D2 (CPRs):
 - Users: 206
 - Records: 10,407



Week-long trajectory of 40 mobile phone users

Detailed trajectory of a single user

• 186 two-hourly reports

• 12 locations. The circle represents the radius of gyration centred in the user's centre of mass.

Mobile Phone Records



 $P(r) = (r + r_0)^{-\beta} \exp(-r/\kappa)$ $\beta = 1.75 \pm 0.15$ $r_0 = 1.5 km$ $\kappa_{D_1} = 400 km$ $\kappa_{D_2} = 80 km$

Measured P(r) of travel distances obtained for D1 and D2. The solid line indicates a truncated power law.

Radius of gyration

Characteristic distance of an individual

$$r_g(u) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_u} \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{cm})^2}$$

Center of mass

$$\mathbf{r}_{cm} = rac{1}{n_u}\sum_{i=1}^{n_u}\mathbf{r}_i$$
 $egin{array}{c} n_u & ext{number of records} \ \mathbf{r}_i & ext{position} \end{array}$

Radius of gyration



Radius of gyration



 $P(r_g) = (r_g + r_g^0)^{-\beta_r} \exp(-r_g/\kappa)$ $r_g^0 = 5.8km$ $\beta_r = 1.65 \pm 0.15$ $\kappa = 350km$

Measured $P(r_g)$ on datasets D1 and D2. The dotted, dashed and dot-dashed curves show P(rg) obtained from null models

Radius of gyration - time evolution



- Radius increases logarithmically with time
 - Indicating a saturation process

Radius of gyration versus time for users of three groups. The black curves correspond to the analytical predictions for the random walk models. The dashed curves corresponding to a logarithmic fit.

Location frequency



Frequency of visiting locations for users observed to visit 5, 10, 30 and 50 locations. L is the rank of the location listed in the order of the visit frequency. 40% of the time individuals are found at their first two preferred locations

- Rank each location based on how many times an individual is recorded there
 - E.g., L=3 is the third-most-visited location for an individual

$$P(L) \sim 1/L$$

People devote most of their time to a few locations, spending their time to places with diminished regularity

k-radius of gyration

Recurrent characteristic distance of an individual



k-center of mass





Mobile Phone Records

Pappalardo et al., 2015:

- CDRs:
 - Users: 67,000
- GPS traces:
 - Users: 46,000





Correlation between total rg and rg^(k) for k=2, 4, 8 for CDRs and GPS traces. Each point is coloured from blue to red, indicating the density of points in the corresponding region.







INTERVALLO

Vilfredo Pareto and the 80/20 rule



He noticed that in Italy a few wealthy individuals earned most of the money, while the majority of the population earned rather small amounts.

He connected this disparity to the observation that **incomes follow a power law**, representing the first known report of a power-law distribution.

The 80/20 rule: Roughly 80 percent of money is earned by only 20 percent of the population.

INTERVALLO

Vilfredo Pareto and the 80/20 rule



The 80/20 rule emerges in many areas:

- 80% of profits are produced by 20% of the employees
- 80% of decisions are made during 20% of meeting time
- 80% of links on the Web point to only 15% of webpages
- 80% of citations go to only 38% of scientist
- 80% of links in Hollywood connected to 30% of actors

• The 1% phenomena:

- In the US, 1% of the population earns 15% of the total income
- signature of income disparity, it is a consequence of the power-law nature of the income distribution

References

- [article] We Need to Let Go of the Bell Curve, Harvard Business Review, 2022
- [article] Visualizing power-law distributions, Capital as Power, 2019

• [book] Linked: the New Science of Networks, A.-L. Barabasi

• [book] Chi troppo chi niente, E. Ferragina





Predictability

Individual Mobility Network

A network where nodes are an individual's visited locations and edges movements between locations



The role of randomness

- 1. What is the role of randomness in human mobility?
- 2. To what degree are our movements predictable?

Entropy

Random entropy

Uncorrelated entropy

 $S^{rand} = \log_2 / N$ $S^{unc} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 p_i$

Real entropy

$$S = -\sum_{T_i' \subset T_i} p_{T_i'} \log_2 p_{T_i'}$$

i=1

Who's the most predictable?





Entropy

Song et al., 2010:

- 50,000 users (CDRs)
- S peaks at 0.8
 - $2^{0.8} = 1.74$



Entropy

Song et al., 2010:

- 50,000 users (CDRs)
- S peaks at 0.8
 - $2^{0.8} = 1.74$



References

- [paper] Human Mobility: Models and Applications, Barbosa et al., Physics Report, 2018, Section 3.1.1
- [paper] The scaling laws of human travel, Brockmann et al., Nature, 2006
- [paper] Understanding individual human mobility patterns, Gonzalez et al., Nature, 2008
- [paper] Returners and Explorers Dichotomy in Human Mobility, Pappalardo et al., Nature Communications, 2015
- [paper] Limits of Predictability in Human Mobility, Song et al., Science 2010

Modelling Individual Human Mobility

Exploration and Preferential Return Model (EPR)



Time: $t + \Delta t$



Location	Time	Event
A	0	



Location	Time	Event
А	0	
В	2	Explore





Location	Time	Event
А	0	
В	2	Explore
С	5	Explore





S = 3





S = 4



S = 4



P(rg) for the EPR model using α =0.75, β =0.6, γ =0.2 and ρ =0.1, the values found to be of direct relevance to human mobility

P(rg) for the

of time

mobile-phone users

at different moments

t

References

- [paper] Modelling the scaling properties of human mobility, Song et al., Nature Physics, 2010
- [paper] Human Mobility: Models and Applications, Barbosa et al., Physics Report, 2018, Section 4.1

Use skmob to compute the radius of gyration of all users in the Brightkite dataset. Make a plot that shows the distribution of the radius of gyration over the population of users.

- Visualize in folium the r_{cm} and r_{a} for the top-10 users with the highest r_{a}
- Compute the home location (HL) of each of these users, compute the distance between each user's HL and r_{cm}
- Redefine r_g so that it is based on HL instead of r_{cm} , call it $r_{g,h}$
- Visualize in folium HL and $r_{q, HL}$ for each of the top-10 users with the highest r_{q}
- Do the shapes of r_g and $r_{g, HL}$ overlap? Compute the overlapping area using shapely/geopandas
- Submit a well-commented notebook

Use the Gowalla dataset to estimate the overall popularity (i.e., number of visits) of each location in the dataset

- Plot the distribution of the locations' popularity. What's the shape of the distribution? Comment on it.
- Compute (using skmob) the uncorrelated location entropy of each location, plot its distribution.
- Show is there is a correlation between popularity and location entropy: Are more popular locations also the most "entropic" ones? Provide your interpretation of the result you get
- Repeat for the Brightkite dataset
- Submit a well-commented notebook

Use the Gowalla dataset to compute the individual mobility networks (IMNs) of each user in the dataset

- Visualize the IMNs of the 1) top-10 individuals and 2) bottom-10 individuals based on their uncorrelated entropy.
- Extract proper network measures from the IMN of each user in the dataset (e.g., average clustering coefficient, average degree, number of nodes, etc.)
- Group individuals by this set of features, using the clustering algorithm you think is the most appropriate
- How many clusters do you find? Characterise and visualize the cluster medoids
- Repeat for the Brightkite dataset
- Submit a well-commented notebook

Download your positions from Google Maps. Plot the corresponding GPS trajectory. Plot the distribution of jump length.

- What's the shape of your jump length distribution? Comment.
- Compute your rg, and plot it in folium together with the center of mass
- What the distance between your home location and your center of mass?
- Repeat the steps above selecting only points in 2020. What's the difference between your overall rg and that during 2020?
- Compare your 2-rg and your overall rg. Are you a returner o an explorer? Comment on it
- Submit a well-commented notebook

Material

to study for the exam

• Human Mobility: Models and Applications, Sections 3.1 and 4

• Understanding individual human mobility patterns

• The scaling laws of human travel