Models of computation (MOD) 2014/15 Exam – July 22, 2015

[Ex. 1] Add to IMP the arithmetic expression

eval a after c

that returns the value of a in the store obtained by the execution of c (if it terminates).

- 1. Define the operational semantics for the new expression.
- 2. Show a simple counterexample to the property

$$\forall a \in Aexp. \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \ \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \langle a, \sigma \rangle \to n$$

3. Redesign the denotational semantics of IMP expressions and commands to take into account the fact that the evaluation of expressions may not terminate: illustrate all needed changes.

[Ex. 2] Let $\mathcal{D} = (D, \sqsubseteq_D)$ be a CPO_{\perp} . Let us consider the function min : $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ defined by letting

$$\min(x,y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \sqsubseteq_D y \\ y & \text{if } y \sqsubseteq_D x \\ \bot_D & \text{if } x \not\sqsubseteq_D y \text{ and } y \not\sqsubseteq_D x \end{cases}$$

- 1. Exhibit a $\text{CPO}_{\perp} \; \mathcal{D}$ such that min is not monotone.
- 2. Prove that if \sqsubseteq_D is a total order then min is monotone.
- 3. Prove that if \sqsubseteq_D is a total order then min is continuous.

 $[\mathbf{Ex.~3}]$ Let us consider the HOFL term

$$t \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \ \mathbf{rec} \ f. \ \lambda x. \ \mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{fst}(x) - \mathbf{snd}(x) \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathbf{snd}(x) \ \mathbf{else} \ f((\mathbf{fst}(x) - \mathbf{snd}(x), \mathbf{snd}(x)))$$

- 1. Find the principal type of t.
- 2. Compute the (lazy) canonical form of (t(6,3)).

[Ex. 4] Consider the CCS processes

$$\begin{array}{lll} p & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{rec} \ X. \ (\tau.X \ + \ \alpha.\mathbf{nil}) \\ q & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{rec} \ Y. \ \tau.(Y \ + \ \alpha.\mathbf{nil}) \\ r & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{rec} \ Z. \ (\tau.(Z \ + \ \alpha.\mathbf{nil}) \ + \ \alpha.\mathbf{nil}) \end{array}$$

- 1. Draw the labelled transition systems for p, q and r.
- 2. Which of the above processes are strong bisimilar?
- 3. Which of the above processes are weak bisimilar?

¹Assume the usual order over the product domain $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$.