PSC 2024/25 (375AA, 9CFU)

Principles for Software Composition

Roberto Bruni
http://www.di.unipi.it/~bruni/

http://didawiki.di.unipi.it/doku.php/magistraleinformatica/psc/start

05c - Rule Induction

Induction on derivations

Derivations

Given a logical system *R*, a derivation in *R*, is written

$$d \Vdash_R y$$

where

- either $d = (\frac{}{y}) \in R$ is an axiom of R;
- or $d = \left(\frac{d_1,\ldots,d_n}{y}\right)$ for some derivations $d_1 \Vdash_R x_1, \ldots, d_n \Vdash_R x_n$ such that $(\frac{x_1,\ldots,x_n}{y}) \in R$ is an inference rule of R.

$$D_R \triangleq \{d \mid d \Vdash_R y\}$$

Immediate subderivation

Take $A = D_R$

$$\prec = \left\{ \left(d_i, \frac{d_1, ..., d_n}{y} \right) \;\middle|\; d_1 \Vdash_R x_1, ..., d_n \Vdash_R x_n, \left(\frac{x_1, ..., x_n}{y} \right) \in R \right\}$$

(immediate subderivation relation)

Example

$$R = \left\{ \frac{}{\mathsf{N} \longrightarrow n}, \frac{\mathsf{E}_0 \longrightarrow n_0 \quad \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_1}{\mathsf{E}_0 \oplus \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_0 + n_1}, \frac{\mathsf{E}_0 \longrightarrow n_0 \quad \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_1}{\mathsf{E}_0 \otimes \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_0 \cdot n_1} \right\}$$

$$\frac{}{2 \longrightarrow 2} \quad \prec \quad \frac{\dfrac{}{1 \longrightarrow 1} \quad \dfrac{}{2 \longrightarrow 2}}{(1 \oplus 2) \longrightarrow 3} \quad \prec \quad \frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{1 \longrightarrow 1} \quad \dfrac{}{2 \longrightarrow 2}}{(1 \oplus 2) \longrightarrow 3} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{3 \longrightarrow 3} \quad \dfrac{}{4 \longrightarrow 4}}{(3 \oplus 4) \longrightarrow 7}}{(1 \oplus 2) \otimes (3 \oplus 4) \longrightarrow 21}$$

Lemma

$D_R, \prec$ is w.f.

Let $height : D_R \to \mathbb{N}$ defined as:

$$height\left(\frac{}{y}\right) \triangleq 1 \quad \text{if } (\frac{}{y}) \in R$$

$$height\left(\frac{d_1,\ldots,d_n}{y}\right) \triangleq 1 + \max_{i\in[1,n]} height(d_i) \quad \text{if } d_1 \Vdash_R x_1, ..., d_n \Vdash_R x_n, (\frac{x_1,\ldots,x_n}{y}) \in R$$

By definition, if $d \prec d'$ then $height(d) < height(d')$

Any descending chain in $\prec$ induces a descending chain in $<$

Since $<$ is w.f., so is $\prec$

Induction on derivation principle

$$\frac{\forall \frac{x_1,\ldots,x_n}{y} \in R.\ \forall d_1 \Vdash_R x_1, \ldots, d_1 \Vdash_R x_n.\ (P(d_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(d_n)) \Rightarrow P(\frac{d_1,\ldots,d_n}{y})}{\forall d.\ P(d)}$$

Corollary

$D_R, \prec^+$ is w.f.

Because $\prec^+$ is the transitive closure of a w.f. relation

Example

$$R = \left\{ \frac{}{\mathsf{N} \longrightarrow n}, \frac{\mathsf{E}_0 \longrightarrow n_0 \quad \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_1}{\mathsf{E}_0 \oplus \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_0 + n_1}, \frac{\mathsf{E}_0 \longrightarrow n_0 \quad \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_1}{\mathsf{E}_0 \otimes \mathsf{E}_1 \longrightarrow n_0 \cdot n_1} \right\}$$

$$\frac{}{2 \longrightarrow 2} \quad \prec^+ \quad \frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{1 \longrightarrow 1} \quad \dfrac{}{2 \longrightarrow 2}}{(1 \oplus 2) \longrightarrow 3} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{3 \longrightarrow 3} \quad \dfrac{}{4 \longrightarrow 4}}{(3 \oplus 4) \longrightarrow 7}}{(1 \oplus 2) \otimes (3 \oplus 4) \longrightarrow 21}$$

Rule induction

Typical properties

It is very often the case that the property of a derivation is only concerned with the conclusion of the derivation

$$d \Vdash_R y \quad \Rightarrow \quad P(d) \Leftrightarrow Q(y)$$

$$P\left(\frac{d_1, \ldots, d_n}{y}\right) \triangleq Q(y)$$

in such cases we can avoid to mention derivations at all

Rule induction principle

we assume derivations exist
and that we can build a larger one
but don't need to mention this fact

$$\frac{\forall \frac{x_1,\ldots,x_n}{y} \in R.\ (\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq I_R \wedge P(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(x_n)) \Rightarrow P(y)}{\forall x \in I_R.\ P(x)}$$

$$I_R \triangleq \{y \mid \Vdash_R y\}$$

Rule induction simplified

assuming that premises are theorems
may be not even necessary

$$\frac{\forall \frac{x_1,\ldots,x_n}{y} \in R.\ (P(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(x_n)) \Rightarrow P(y)}{\forall x \in I_R.\ P(x)}$$

Induction schemes

properties of numbers $P(n)$ mathematical induction

two proof obligations: $P(0)$ and $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$

properties of terms $P(t)$ structural induction

one proof obligation for each function symbol

properties of formulas $\mathrm{P(F)}$ rule induction

one proof obligation for each inference rule

Determinacy: two views

properties of terms $P(t)$ structural induction

$$P(c) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2.\ \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1 \wedge \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$$

properties of formulas $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})$ rule induction

$$P(\langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$$

Determinacy of commands

$$c ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid x := a \mid c\,;c \mid \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c\ \mathbf{else}\ c \mid \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma} \qquad \frac{\langle a, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow n}{\langle x := a, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[n/x]} \qquad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_0\,; c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \qquad \forall c, \sigma, \sigma_1.\ P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1)\ ?$$

Base case

$$\frac{}{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$$

We want to prove

$$P(\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma = \sigma_2$$

Take σ_2 s.t. $\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma = \sigma_2$

Consider the goal $\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

Only the rule $\dfrac{}{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$ is applicable, hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma$

Base case

$$\frac{\langle a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow n}{\langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[n/x]}$$

We assume $\langle a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow n$

We want to prove

$$P(\langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[n/x]) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma[n/x] = \sigma_2$$

Take σ_2 s.t. $\langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma[n/x] = \sigma_2$

Consider the goal $\langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

Only the rule $\dfrac{\langle a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow n}{\langle x := a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[n/x]}$ is applicable, hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma[m/x]$ with $\langle a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow m$

since we assumed $\langle a,\sigma\rangle \longrightarrow n$

by determinacy of Aexp we have $n = m$ and thus $\sigma_2 = \sigma[m/x] = \sigma[n/x]$

Inductive case

$$\frac{\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_0\,; c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

We assume (inductive hypotheses)

$$P(\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2''.\ \langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'' \Rightarrow \sigma'' = \sigma_2''$$

$$P(\langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2'.\ \langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2' \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2'$$

We want to prove

$$P(\langle c_0\,; c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c_0\,; c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2$$

Take σ_2 such that $\langle c_0\,; c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma' = \sigma_2$

Inductive case (ctd)

$$P(\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'') \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \forall \sigma_2''.\ \langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'' \Rightarrow \sigma'' = \sigma_2''$$
$$P(\langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \forall \sigma_2'.\ \langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2' \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2'$$

Consider the goal $\langle c_0\,;c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

Only the rule $\dfrac{\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_0\,;c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$ is applicable

hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2'$ with $\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2''$ and $\langle c_1, \sigma_2''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'$

By inductive hypothesis $P(\langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'')$, we have $\sigma'' = \sigma_2''$

and thus $\langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'$

By inductive hypothesis $P(\langle c_1, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma')$, we then have $\sigma' = \sigma_2'$

Inductive case

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

We assume

$\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}$

(inductive hypothesis)

$P(\langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2$

We want to prove

$P(\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2$

Take σ_2 such that $\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma' = \sigma_2$

Inductive case (ctd)

$\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}$

$P(\langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2$

Consider the goal $\langle \textbf{if } b \textbf{ then } c_0 \textbf{ else } c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

By determinacy of Bexp

only the rule $\dfrac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \textbf{if } b \textbf{ then } c_0 \textbf{ else } c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$ is applicable

hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2'$ with $\langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'$

By inductive hypothesis $P(\langle c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma')$, we then have $\sigma' = \sigma_2' = \sigma_2$

Inductive case

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ c_0\ \mathbf{else}\ c_1, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

Analogous to the previous case and thus omitted

Base case

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$$

We assume

$\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}$

We want to prove

$P(\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma = \sigma_2$

Take σ_2 such that $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma = \sigma_2$

Inductive case (ctd)

$\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}$

Consider the goal $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

By determinacy of Bexp

Only the rule $\dfrac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{ff}}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$ is applicable hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma$

Inductive case

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

We assume

$\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt}$

(inductive hypotheses)

$P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2''.\ \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'' \Rightarrow \sigma'' = \sigma_2''$

$P(\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2'.\ \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2' \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2'$

We want to prove

$P(\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2$

Take σ_2 such that $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

We want to prove $\sigma' = \sigma_2$

Inductive case (ctd)

$\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt}$

$P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2''.\ \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'' \Rightarrow \sigma'' = \sigma_2''$

$P(\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma') \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall \sigma_2'.\ \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2' \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma_2'$

Consider the goal $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2$

By determinacy of Bexp

only the rule $\dfrac{\langle b, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$ is applicable

hence $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2'$ with $\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2''$ and $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma_2''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'$

By inductive hypothesis $P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'')$, we have $\sigma'' = \sigma_2''$

thus $\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2'$

By inductive hypothesis $P(\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma''\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma')$

we conclude $\sigma' = \sigma_2' = \sigma_2$

Determinacy of commands

$$\forall c, \sigma, \sigma_1.\ P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1)$$

$$P(\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_1) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \forall \sigma_2.\ \langle c, \sigma\rangle \longrightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$$

Badge exercise

Suppose we extend the syntax of arithmetic expressions

$a ::= x \mid n \mid a$ op $a \mid x$++

where x++ evaluates to the current value of x but then increment x as a side-effect

1. Redefine the operational semantics of Aexp, Bexp and Com to take side-effects into account and discuss all problematic issues and the subsequent design choices

2. Find two arithmetic expressions a_0 and a_1 such that the evaluation of $a_0 + a_1$ differs from that of $a_1 + a_0$, if possible