Tecniche di Progettazione: Design Patterns

GoF: Composite

Composite pattern

- Scopo
  - Comporre oggetti in una struttura ad albero per rappresentare gerarchie e lasciare che il cliente tratti in modo uniforme nodi e foglie
- Motivazioni
  - Molte applicazioni (per esempio editori di disegni e di circuiti) permettono agli utenti di costruire oggetti complessi a partire da oggetti semplici: se gli oggetti semplici sono trattati in modo diverso, l'applicazione diventa più complessa

Composite: struttura



Composite: partecipanti

- Componente
  - Dichiara il tipo degli oggetti nella composizione
  - Realizza il comportamento standard di tutte le classi (se ne esiste uno)
  - Dichiara l'interfaccia per accedere ai figli
- Foglia
  - Definisce il comportamento degli oggetti primitivi nella composizione
- Composite
  - Definisce il comportamento degli oggetti con figli
  - Memorizza i figli
  - Realizza le operazioni per accedere ai figli

Composite: esempio



Composite: collaborazione



Directory / File Example

- Directory = Composite
- File = Leaf





Directory / File Example – Classes

- One class for Files (Leaf nodes)
- One class for Directories (Composite nodes)
  - Collection of Directories and Files
- How do we make sure that Leaf nodes and Composite nodes can be handled uniformly?
  - Derive them from the same abstract base class

Leaf Class: File





Composite Class: Directory

Directory / File Example – Structure



Directory / File Example – Operation



Leaf Class: File
size () of file

Abstract Base Class: Node
size() in bytes of entire directory and sub-directories

Composite Class: Directory
size () Sum of file sizes in this directory and its sub-directories

```
long Directory::size () {
  long total = 0;
  Node* child;
  for (int i = 0; child = getChild(); ++i; {
    total += child->size();
  }
  return total;
}
```

Consequences

- Solves problem of how to code recursive hierarchical part-whole relationships.
- Client code is simplified.
  - Client code can treat primitive objects and composite objects uniformly.
  - Existing client code does not need changes if a new leaf or composite class is added (because client code deals with the abstract base class).
- Can make design overly general.
  - Can't rely on type system to restrict the components of a composite. Need to use run-time checks.

Implementation Issues

- Should Component maintain the list of components that will be used by a composite object? That is, should this list be an instance variable of Component rather than Composite?
  - Better to keep this part of Composite and avoid wasting the space in every leaf object
- Is child ordering important?
  - Depends on application
- Who should delete components?
  - Not a problem in Java! The garbage collector will come to the rescue!
- What's the best data structure to store components?
  - Depends on application

Discussion 1/2

- Attempt to simplify the pattern: is it possible to treat everithing as a composite, without having leaves? Bad idea!
  - There is "pollution" of the code because there is no separation between the tree structure and the tree nodes
  - Violation of the single responsibility principle.
  - E.g. If one wants to subclass the leaves.

Discussion 2/2

- Is it possible to expose in the Component only bthose operations which are common to Leaf and Composite?
  - E.g. not to expose addChild in Component.
  - Possible solution, see "A look at the composite Design Pattern" By David Geary, JavaWorld.com, 13 sett 02.
  - But, to use operations specific to the Composite a downcasting is needed.
  - Best and most robust solution is still to implement operations specific to the Composite in Component, by raising an exception, and overriding them in Composite.

What we want (something like this):

All Menus

PancakeHouseMenu DinerMenu CafeMenu

1 2 3

Here's our Arraylist that holds the menus of each restaurant.

Pancake Menu

MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem

1 2 3 4

ArrayList

Diner Menu

Array

Café Menu

Hashtable

Dessert Menu

We need for Diner Menu to hold a submenu, but we can't actually assign a menu to a MenuItem array because the types are different, so this isn't going to work.

But this won't work!

We can't assign a dessert menu to a MenuItem array.

Time for a change!

Because we need to represent menus, nested sub menus and menu items, we can naturally fit them in a tree-like structure.

All Menus

Pancake House Menu

We need to accomodate Menus...

Diner Menu

Cafe Menu

... and sub menus...

MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem

MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem

Dessert Menu

MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem

MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem MenuItem

...and menu items.

We still need to be able to traverse the all the items in the tree.



We also need to be able to traverse more flexibly, for instance over one menu.



Complex hierarchy of menu items



The Waitress is going to use the MenuComponent interface to access both Menus and MenuItems.

MenuComponent represents the interface for both MenuItem and Menu. We've used an abstract class here because we want to provide default implementations for these methods.



We have some of the same methods you'll remember from our previous versions of MenuItem and Menu, and we've added print(), add(), remove() and getChild(). We'll describe these soon, when we implement our new Menu and MenuItem classes.

Here are the methods for manipulating the components. The components are MenuItem and Menu.

Both MenuItem and Menus override print().

MenuItem overrides the methods that make sense, and uses the default implementations in MenuComponent for those that don't make sense (like add() – it doesn't make sense to add a component to a MenuItem... we can only add components to a Menu).

Menu also overrides the methods that make sense, like a way to add and remove menu items (or other menus!) from its menuComponents. In addition, we'll use the getName() and getDescription() methods to return the name and description of the menu.

Operations applied to whole or parts



Some observations

- The "print menu" method in the MenuComponent class is recursive.
- Now lets look at an alternative implementation which uses an iterator to iterate through composite classes ➔the composite iterator

MenuComponent

```
public abstract class MenuComponent {
    public void add(MenuComponent menuComponent) {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public void remove(MenuComponent menuComponent) {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public MenuComponent getChild(int i) {
            The composite methods
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public String getName() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
…
```

MenuComponent

```
…
    public String getDescription() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public double getPrice() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public boolean isVegetarian() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    public void print() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
}
```

MenuItem

```
public class MenuItem extends MenuComponent {
    String name;
    String description;
    boolean vegetarian;
    double price;
    public MenuItem(String name, String description, boolean vegetarian, double price){
            this.name = name;
            this.description = description;
            this.vegetarian = vegetarian;
            this.price = price;
    }
…
```

MenuItem

```
…
    public String getName() { return name; }
    public String getDescription() { return description; }
    public double getPrice() { return price; }
    public boolean isVegetarian() { return vegetarian; }
    public void print(){
        System.out.print(" " + getName());
        if (isVegetarian()) System.out.print("(v)");
        System.out.println(", " + getPrice());
        System.out.println(" -- " + getDescription());
    }
}
```

Menu

```
public class Menu extends MenuComponent {
    ArrayList menuComponents = new ArrayList();
    String name;
    String description;
    public Menu(String name, String description) {
            this.name = name;
            this.description = description;
    }
    public void add(MenuComponent menuComponent) {
            menuComponents.add(menuComponent);
    }
…
```

Menu

```
public void remove(MenuComponent menuComponent) {
        menuComponents.remove(menuComponent);
}
public MenuComponent getChild(int i) {
        return (MenuComponent)menuComponents.get(i);}
public String getName() { return name;}
public String getDescription() { return description;}
public void print() {
        System.out.print("\n" + getName());
        System.out.println(", " + getDescription());
        Iterator iterator = menuComponents.iterator();
        while (iterator.hasNext()) {
        MenuComponent menuComponent = (MenuComponent)iterator.next();
        menuComponent.print();
}
```

Related Patterns

- Chain of Responsibility – Component-Parent Link
- Decorator – When used with composite will usually have a common parent class. So decorators will need to support the component interface with operations like: Add, Remove, GetChild.
- Flyweight – Lets you share components, but they can no longer reference their parents.
- Iterator – Can be used to traverse composites.
- Visitor – Localizes operations and behavior that would otherwise be distributed across composite and leaf classes.