Methods for the specification and verification of business processes MPB (6 cfu, 295AA)



Roberto Bruni http://www.di.unipi.it/~bruni

12 - Workflow nets



We study some special kind of Petri nets, that are suitable models of workflows

Ch.4.4 of Business Process Management: Concepts, Languages, Architectures

There are many, many variants of Petri nets

Condition / Event Systems

A C/E system is a Petri net whose places have all capacity equal to 1

(i.e., each place can contain one token at most)

Markings are just subsets of P (not multisets)

Firing rule is more restrictive: t is enabled at M if $\bullet t \subseteq M$ and $t \bullet \cap M = \emptyset$

4



Place / Transition Petri nets

A P/T net is a Petri net (P,T,F) together with a weight function w : $F \rightarrow Nat$

Firings consume and produce tokens according to the weight function

Sometimes a place capacity function $c: P \rightarrow Nat \cup \{\infty\}$ is also considered

Firings cannot lead to markings where the capacity of a place is exceeded

P/T net: examples



Capacity ∞ is omitted from places Weight 1 is omitted from arcs



P/T net: examples



Is t₁ enabled?





Coloured nets (also called High-Level)

A coloured net is a Petri net whose tokens can carry data and whose transitions can check data (see exact definition in Weske's book)



M. Weske: Business Process Management, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

Workflow nets

Workflow nets features

Aim: To ease the representation of business processes

Formal (unambiguous) semantics

Decorated graphical representation

Structural restrictions

Efficient analysis of process properties

Tool independence (.pnml standard)

Workflow net: idea



Workflow net

Definition:

A Petri net (P, T, F) is called **workflow net** if:

- 1. there is a distinguished *initial place* $i \in P$ with $\bullet i = \emptyset$
- 2. there is a distinguished final place $o \in P$ with $o \bullet = \emptyset$
- 3. every other place and transition belongs to a path from i to o

Workflow net: Rationale

- 1. a token in i represents a process instance not yet started
- 2. a token in *o* represents a finished case
- 3. each place and each transition can participate in a case

Definition:

A Petri net (P, T, F) is called **workflow net** if:

- 1. there is a distinguished *initial place* $i \in P$ with $\bullet i = \emptyset$
- 2. there is a distinguished final place $o \in P$ with $o \bullet = \emptyset$
- 3. every other place and transition belongs to a path from i to o



Basic properties

Lemma: In a workflow net there is a unique node with no incoming arc

Lemma: In a workflow net there is a unique node with no outgoing arc

Exercise: Guess which nodes are those

Exercise: Prove the above lemmas (hint: suppose the nodes are not unique, reach a contradiction)















Abstract view



Subprocesses



Typical control flow aspects

Sequencing

Parallelism (AND-split + AND-join)

Selection (XOR-split + XOR-join)

Iteration (XOR-join + XOR-split)

Capacity constraints: Feedback loop Mutual exclusion Alternating

Sequencing

B is executed after A



Parallelism (AND-split + AND-join)

A and B are both executed in no particular order



Parallelism ("sugared" version)



Deferred choice (XOR-split + XOR-join)

Either A or B is executed (choice is **implicit**)



Explicit choice (XOR-split + XOR-join)

Either A or B is executed (choice is explicit)



Choice ("sugared" version)



Syntax Sugar



Remember

Explicit choice *≠* Implicit choice



Iteration (one or more time)

A is executed 1 or more time



One-or-more iteration ("sugared" version)







Zero-or-more iteration ("sugared" version)



Zero-or-more iteration (simplified version)



One serve per time

Multiple activations are handled one by one



Mutual exclusion

A and B cannot execute concurrently



Alternation

A and B execute one time each (A first)



Question time

What's the difference (also in terms of firing sequences)?



- Which "patterns" can be found in the workflow net below?
- "Sugarize" the net
- Draw the corresponding Reachability Graph
- What are the possible firing sequences?



- Which "patterns" can be found in the workflow net below?
- "Sugarize" the net (where it makes sense)
- Name all places and draw the Reachability Graph



- "Desugarize" the workflow net below, then name all places and all transitions
- Draw the corresponding Reachability Graph
- What are the possible firing sequences?



M. Weske: Business Process Management, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

- "Desugarize" the workflow nets below, then name all items
- Draw the corresponding Reachability Graphs
- What are their possible firing sequences?



Triggers

Execution constraints can depend on the environment in which processes are enacted.

In the contexts of workflow nets, transitions can be annotated with the information on who (or what) is responsible for the "firing" of that task.

All transitions that are not annotated can fire automatically

Such annotations are called triggers

Triggers

Triggers can be:

a human interaction

the receipt of a message

the expiration of a time-out

Symbols for triggers



Automatic Trigger: Task enacted automatically



User Trigger: A human user takes initiative and starts activity



External Trigger: External event required to start activity



Time Trigger: Activity started when timer elapses

Triggers: example



Triggers: example





(a) *Explicit xor split* does not enable A and B concurrently

(b) Implicit xor split enables A and B concurrently

Encoding triggers

Trigger activities can formally be represented by places with an arc to the respective transition...



(a) Transition A started by user trigger

(b) Representation of user trigger by additional place and additional arc

В

А

р

but such nets would not be workflow nets! (unless the resource is allocated at the beginning and deallocated at the end)

Terminology: revisited

task: A logical step which may be executed for many cases

work item = task + case
A logical step which may be executed for a
specific case

activity = task + case + (trigger) + (resource) The actual execution of a task for a specific case

(work items and activities are task instances)

Motivation for the analysis Old BPs generally had simple structures and

Old BPs generally had simple structures and a physical document linked to each case (a sort of token that serializes tasks)

ICT developments (databases and networks) allowed terrific enhancements... and dangers information is shared parallelization is possible completion times can be shortened BPs are larger, with increasing complexity flawed situations are more frequent

Is this WF net ok?

