Methods for the specification and verification of business processes MPB (6 cfu, 295AA)

Roberto Bruni http://www.di.unipi.it/~bruni

15 - Sound by construction



We show a technique to build sound Workflow nets

Soundness proof by construction

Idea

1. Find a suitable set of "building blocks"

they are (small) workflow nets that can be (easily) proved to be **sound** and to be **safe** (1-bounded)

2. Define composition patterns so that by composing **safe and sound** WF nets we get **safe and sound** WF nets

Let N, N' be two safe and sound workflow nets



Let t be a task of N with exactly one input and one output place



Let N[N'/t] denote the net obtained by replacing the task t in N by N'



Let N[N'/t] denote the net obtained by replacing the task t in N by N'



The net N[N'/t] is a **sound** and **safe workflow net** (proof omitted)



Proof sketch

Intuitively

a sound workflow net behaves as a transition: it takes one token from its input place and it produces one token to its output place (but not atomically)

Formally

the crux of the proof is showing a bijective correspondence between markings of the composed net N[N'/t] and the pairs of markings in N and N'











iteration



iteration



iteration









But you can define more blocks on your own

Example







Example





Example















Exercise

Prove that the net below is a safe and sound workflow net



Exercise

Prove that the net below is a safe and sound workflow net (hint: "desugar" it)



Generalization

We would like to progressively refine transitions with multiple incoming and outgoing arcs



Two facts

Lemma: Let N be a sound WF net. If $(i,t) \in F$ then the pre-set of t is $\{i\}$

(otherwise t would be a dead transition)

Lemma: Let N be a sound WF net. If $(t,o) \in F$ then the post-set of t is $\{o\}$

(otherwise t would be dead or proper completion would not hold)







But you can define more blocks on your own

Exercise

Prove that the net below is a safe and sound workflow net

