

The MPI Message-passing Standard

Practical use and implementation (I)

SPD Course

24, 27/02/2014

Massimo Coppola

- Standard MPI 2.2
 - Only those parts that we will cover during the lessons
 - They will be specified in the slides/web site.
 - Available online : <http://www.mpi-forum.org/docs/mpi-2.2/mpi22-report.pdf>
- B. Wilkinson, M. Allen Parallel Programming, 2nd edition. 2005, Prentice-Hall.
 - This book will be also used; the 1st edition can as well do, and it is available in the University Library of the Science Faculty, [C.1.2 w74 INF]

What is MPI

- MPI: Message Passing Interface
 - a standard defining a *communication library* that allows message passing applications, languages and tools to be written in a portable way
- MPI 1.0 released in 1994
- Standard by the MPI Forum
 - aims at wide adoption
- Goals
 - Portability of programs, flexibility, portability and efficiency of the MPI library implementation
 - Enable portable exploitation of shortcuts and hardware acceleration
- Approach
 - Implemented as a library, static linking
- Intended use of the implemented standard
 - Support **Parallel Programming Languages** and **Application-specific Libraries**, not only parallel programs

Standard history

- 1994 - 1.0 core MPI
 - 40 organizations aim at a widely used standard
- 1995 - 1.1 corrections & clarifications
- 1997 - 1.2
 - small changes to 1.1 allow extensions to MPI 2.0
- 1997 - 2.0
 - large additions: process creation/management, one-sided communications, extended collective communications, external interfaces, parallel I/O
- 2008 - 1.3 combines MPI 1.1 and 1.2 + errata
- 2008 - 2.1 merges 1.3 and 2.0 + errata
- 2009 - 2.2 few extensions to 2.1 + errata
- **MPI3.0**

What do we mean with message passing?

- A program is composed of multiple processes with **separate memory spaces & environments**
- Processes are possibly on separate computing resources
- Interaction happens via **explicit message exchanges**
- Support code provides primitives for communication and synchronization
- The M.P.I., i.e. the kind of primitives and the overall communication structure they provide, constrain the kind of applications that can be expressed
- Different implementation levels will be involved in managing the MPI support



On the meaning of Portability

- Preserve software *functional* behaviour across systems :
 - (recompiled) programs return correct results
- Preserve *non-functional* behaviour :
 - You expect also performance, efficiency, robustness and other features to be preserved

In the “parallel world”, the big issue is to safekeep parallel performance and scalability

- Performance Tuning
 - Fiddling with program and deployment parameters to enhance performance
- Performance Debugging
 - Correct results, but awful performance: what happened?
 - Mismatched assumptions among SW/HW layers

What do we do with MPI?

MPI is a tool to develop:

- Applications
- Programming Languages
- Libraries


Much more than the typical usage patterns you can find around on the web!

Interoperation of Programming languages (Fortran, C, C++ ...)

Heterogeneous resources

Big/little endianness
FP formats

...



MPI functionalities

- MPI lets **processes** in a **distributed/parallel** execution environment **coordinate** and **communicate**
 - Possibly processes on different machines
 - We won't care about threads
 - MPI implementations can be compatible with threads, but you program the threads using some other shared-memory mechanism: pthreads, OpenMP ...
- Same MPI library instance can be called by multiple high-level languages
 - Interoperability, multiple language bindings
 - impact on standard definition and its implementation
 - The MPI Library is eventually linked to the program, its support libraries and its language runtime
 - Some functionalities essential for programming language development


Key MPI Concepts

- Communicators
- Point to point communication
- Collective Communication
- Data Types

- Communicators
 - Process groups + communication state
 - Inter-communicators vs Intra-communicators
 - **Rank** of a process
- Point to point communication
- Collective Communication
- Data Types


- Specify the communication context
 - Each communicator is a separate “universe”, no message interaction between different communicators
- A group of processes AND a global communication state
 - Forming a communicator implies some agreement among the communication support of the composing processes
 - A few essential communicators are created by the MPI initialization routine (e.g. `MPI_COMM_WORLD`)
 - More communicator features later in the course

- Intracommunicator
 - Formed by a single group of processes
 - Allows message passing interaction among the processes within the communicator



- Intercommunicators
 - Formed by two groups A, B of processes
 - Allows message passing between pairs of processes of the two different groups

(x,y) can communicate *if-and-only-if*
 x belongs to group A and y belongs to B



- No absolute process identifiers in MPI
- The **Rank** of a process is always relative to a specific communicator
- In a group or communicator with N processes, ranks are consecutive integers $0 \dots N-1$
- No process is guaranteed to have the same rank in different communicators,
 - unless the communicator is specially built by the user

Key MPI Concepts : point to point

- Communicators
- Point to point communication
 - **Envelope**
 - **Local** vs **global completion**
 - **Blocking** vs **non-blocking** communication
 - Communication **modes**
- Collective Communication
- Data Types


Envelope =

(source, destination, TAG, communicator)




- Qualifies all point to point communications
- Source and dest are **related** to the communicator
- Two point-to-point operations (send+receive) match if their envelopes match **exactly**
- **TAG** meaning is user-defined → play with tags to assign semantics to a communication
 - TAG provide communication insulation within a communicator, for semantic purposes
 - Allow any two processes to establish multiple communication “Channels” (*in a non-technical meaning*)

- Messages with the same envelope never overtake each other



- No guarantee on messages with different envelope!



- E.g. : different tags

A first look at the SEND primitive

`MPI_SEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)`

- IN buf initial address of send buffer
- IN count number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer, **in datatypes**)
- IN datatype datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
- IN dest rank of destination
- IN tag message tag
- IN comm communicator (handle)

Local and global completion

- **Local completion** : a primitive does not need to interact with other processes to complete
 - Forming a group of processes
 - Asynchronous send of a message while ignoring the communication status
- **Global completion** : interaction with other processes is needed to complete the primitive
 - Turning a group into a communicator
 - Synchronous send/receive : semantics mandates that parties interact before communication happens

Blocking vs non-blocking operations

- Blocking operation
 - The call returns only once the operation is complete
 - No special treatment is needed, only error checking
- non blocking operation
 - The call returns as soon as possible
 - Operation may be in progress or haven't started yet
 - Resources required by the operation cannot be reused (e.g. message buffer is not to be modified)
 - User need to subsequently check the operation completion and its results
- Tricky question: do we mean local or global completion?

- Synchronous
 - Follows the common definition of synchronous communication, first process waits for the second one to reach the matching send/receive
- Buffered
 - Communication happens through a buffer, operation completes as soon as the data is in the buffer
 - Buffer allocation is onto the user AND the MPI implementation
- Ready
 - Assumes that the other side is already waiting (can be used if we know the communication party already issued a matching send/receive)
- **Standard**
 - The most common, and less informative
 - MPI implementation is free to use any available mode, i.e. almost always Synchronous or Buffered

Example: portability and modes

- Standard sends are implementer's choice
 - Choice is never said to remain constant...
- A user program exploit standard sends, implicitly relying on *buffered* sends
 - Implementation actually chooses them, so program works
- What if
 - Implementation has to momentarily switch to synchronous sends due to insufficient buffer space?
 - Program is recompiled on a different MPI implementation, which does not use buffered mode by default?

Combining concepts

- Point to point concepts of **communication mode** and **non-blocking** are completely orthogonal : you can have all combinations
- local / global completion depends on
 - The primitive (some inherently local/global)
 - The combination of mode and blocking behavior
 - The MPI implementation and the hardware always have the last word
- We will be back to this later on in the course

Key MPI Concepts : Collective op.s

- Communicators
- Point to point communication
- **Collective Communication**
 - A **whole** communicator is involved
 - Always locally blocking *
 - *No longer true since MPI 3.0, but we will ignore this in this course*
 - No modes: collectives in a same communicator are **serialized**
- Data Types

Collective operations - I

- Basically a different model of parallelism in the same library
- Collectives act on a **whole** communicator
 - All processes in the communicator must call the collective operation
 - With compatible parameters
 - *Locally the collectives are always blocking (no longer true since MPI 3, but outside course scope)*
- Collective operations are **serialized** within a communicator
 - By contrast, point to point message passing is intrinsically concurrent
 - No communication modes or non-blocking behaviour apply to collective operations

- Much detail is left to the implementation
 - The standards makes minimal assumptions
 - Leaves room for machine specific optimization
- Still **No guarantee** that all processes are actually within the collective at the same time
 - Freedom for MPI developers to choose the implementation algorithms: collective may start or complete at different moments for different processes
 - MPI_Barrier is of course an exception

- Communicators
- Point to point communication
- Collective Communication
- **Data Types**
 - A particular kind of **Opaque objects**
 - MPI **primitive** datatypes
 - MPI **derived** datatypes

Opaque objects

- Data structures whose exact definition is hidden
 - Obj. internals depend on the MPI implementation
 - Some fields may be explicitly documented and made accessible to the MPI programmer
 - Other fields are only accessed through dedicated MPI primitives and object **handles**
 - Allocated and freed (directly or indirectly) only by the MPI library code
 - If the user is required to do so, it has to call an MPI function which is specific to the kind of opaque object
 - Example:
Communicators and datatypes are Opaque Obj.

Primitive Datatypes

- MPI Datatypes are needed to let the MPI implementation know how to handle data
 - Data conversion
 - Packing data into buffers for communication, and unpacking afterwards
 - Also used for MPI I/O functionalities
- Primitive datatypes
 - Correspond to basic types of most programming languages: integers, floats, chars...
 - Have bindings for MPI supported languages
 - Enough for simple communication

- Derivate datatypes correspond to composite types of modern programming languages
 - Set of MPI constructors corresponding to various kinds of arrays, structures, unions
 - Memory organization of the data is highly relevant, and can be explicitly considered
 - Derived datatypes can automate packing and unpacking of complex data structures for communications, and allow semantically correct parallel operation on partitioned data structures

FILLING IN THE GAPS

Beware

- MPI uses a different abstraction than physical / logic channels, the one you know from previous courses
- When we speak of “channels” in MPI we mean the set of messages sharing the same envelope and some ordering constraint
- There is not such thing as an implementation of the channel defined or referenced in the MPI standard
- The two abstractions have different goals, but the implementation issues are the same: HW features, coprocessors, zero copy...
- You are expected to understand both and not confuse them

From Send and Recv to programs

- Simplest programs do not need much beyond Send and Recv
- Keep in mind that each process lives in a separate memory space
 - Need to initialize all your data structures
 - Need to initialize **your instance of the MPI library**
 - Should you make assumptions on process number?
 - How portable will your program be?
- You will need to check your MPI man page to launch programs with more processes
 - E.g. **`mpirun -np 4 myprogram parameters`**

On each process!! The local spaces are separate

- `MPI_Init()`
 - Shall be called before using any MPI calls (very few exceptions)
 - Initializes the MPI runtime for all processes in the running program, some kind of handshaking implied
 - e.g. creates **`MPI_COMM_WORLD`**
- `MPI_Finalize()`
 - Frees all MPI resources and cleans up the MPI runtime, taking care of any operation pending
 - Any further call to MPI is forbidden
 - some runtime errors can be detected at finalize
 - e.g. calling finalize with communications still pending and unmatched

References

- MPI 2.2 standard (see <http://www.mpi-forum.org/>)
 - Only some parts
- Parallel Programming, B. Wilkinson & M. Allen. Prentice-Hall (2nd ed., 2005)
 - Only some references, 1st edition is ok too.
- Relevant Material for 1st lesson, MPI standard
 - Chapter 1: have a look at it.
 - Chapter 2:
sec. 2.3, 2.4, 2.5.1, 2.5.4, 2.5.6, 2.6.3, 2.6.4, 2.7, 2.8
 - Chapter 3:
sec. 3.1, 3.2.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.7