Statistical Methods for Data Science Lesson 24 - Testing correlation/independence, Multiple sample testing of the mean.

Salvatore Ruggieri

Department of Computer Science University of Pisa salvatore.ruggieri@unipi.it

Testing independence: discrete data

- [Pearson's Chi-Square test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pearson%27s_chi-squared_test) of independence
- X and Y discrete (finite) distributions
- $(x_1, y_1) \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ bivariate observed dataset
- $H_0: X, Y$ independent $H_1: X, Y$ dependent
- Test statistic:

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{i,j} \frac{(O_{i,j} - E_{i,j})^2}{E_{i,j}} = n \sum_{i,j} \frac{(O_{i,j}/n - p_{i,j}, p_{i,j})^2}{p_{i,j}, p_{i,j}} \sim \chi^2(df)
$$

where $O_{i,j}$ is the number of observations of value $X=i$ and $\,\mathsf{Y}=j,\,E_{i,j}=n p_{i,\,}P_{\cdot,j}\,$ where $p_{i,.}=\sum_j O_{i,j}/n$ and $p_{.,j}=\sum_i O_{i,j}/n.$ $df=(n_\text{x}-1)(n_\text{y}-1)$ where n_x (resp., $n_\text{y})$ is the size of the support of X (resp., Y)

- Exact test when *n* is small: [Fisher's exact test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fisher%27s_exact_test)
- Paired data (e.g., before and after taking a drug): [McNemar's test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McNemar%27s_test)

Testing correlation: continuous data

• Population correlation:

$$
\rho = \frac{E[(X - \mu_X) \cdot (Y - \mu_Y)]}{\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y}
$$

• Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$
r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) \cdot (y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
$$

- Assumption: joint distribution of X, Y is bivariate normal
- $(x_1, y_1) \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ bivariate observed dataset
- $H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho \neq 0$
- Test statistic:

$$
T=\frac{r\sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\sim t(n-2)
$$

Testing AUC-ROC

- Binary classifier $f : \mathbf{x} \to [0, 1]$ with $f(\mathbf{x})$ score to be positive
- Let v_r be the true class of x , either 0 negative or 1 positive
	- ightharpoonup calibrated classifier if $P(Y_{\mathbf{x}} = 1 | f(\mathbf{X}) = p) = p$
- ROC Curve
	- \blacktriangleright TPR(p) = P(f(X) $\geq p|Y_{\mathbf{X}}=1$) and FPR(p) = P(f(X) $> p|Y_{\mathbf{X}}=0$)
	- ROC Curve is the scatter plot $TPR(p)$ over $FPR(p)$ for p ranging from 1 down to 0
	- \triangleright AUC (or AUC-ROC) is the area below the curve

Testing AUC-ROC

- Linearly related to Somer's D correlation index (see Lesson 12)
- AUC (or AUC-ROC) is the probability of correct identification of the order

$$
P(f(\boldsymbol{W}) < f(\boldsymbol{Z})|Y_{\boldsymbol{W}} = 0, Y_{\boldsymbol{Z}} = 1)
$$

- Related to the Wilcoxon rank-sum test W statistics (actually, equal to the U statistics of [Mann–Whitney](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mann%E2%80%93Whitney_U_test) U test) $U = W - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, see Lesson 23
- Normal approximation, DeLong's algorithm or bootstrap for confidence interval estimation

See R script $5/9$

Omnibus tests and post-hoc tests

- $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \ldots = \theta_k$ [= 0]
- $\bullet\ \ H_1: \theta_i \neq \theta_j$ for some $i \neq j$
- Omnibus tests detect any of several possible differences
	- \blacktriangleright Advantage: no need to pre-specify which treatments are to be compared and then no need to adjust for making multiple comparisons
- If H_1 is rejected (test significant), a post-hoc test to find which $\theta_i \neq \theta_i$
	- \triangleright Everything to everything post-hoc compare all pairs
	- \triangleright One to everything post-hoc compare a new population to all the others
- We distinguish a few cases:
	- ► Multiple linear regression (normal errors $+$ homogeneity of variances, i.e., $U_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$): $F-test + t-test$
	- \blacktriangleright Equality of means (normal distributions $+$ homogeneity of variances):
		- \Box ANOVA + Tukey/Dunnett
	- \triangleright Equality of means (general distributions):
		- \Box Friedman + Nemenyi

F -test for multiple linear regression

- $Y = X \cdot \beta + U$, where $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$, $U = (U_1, \ldots, U_n)$, and $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
	- $\blacktriangleright \ \bm{\beta}^{\bm{\mathcal{T}}} = (\alpha, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ and $\bm{x}_i = (1, x_i^1, \ldots, x_i^k)$
	- ► Unexplained (residual) error $SSE = S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i x_i \cdot \beta)^2$
- Null model (or intercept-only model): $Y = 1 \cdot \alpha + U$
	- ► Total error $SST = S(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \bar{y}_n)^2$ [residuals of the null model]
- Explained error $SSR = SST SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{y}_n x_i \cdot \beta)^2$
- \bullet Coefficient of determination $R^2=SSR/ SST=1- SSE/ SST$
	- \triangleright Is the model useful? Fraction of explained error
- Is the model statistically significant?
- H₀: $\beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0$ H₁: $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$
- Test statistic: $F = \frac{SSR}{SSF}$ SSE $\frac{n-k-1}{k} \sim F(k, n-k-1)$

Equality of means: ANOVA

- $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k$
- H_1 : $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ for some $i \neq i$
- datasets y_1^j $j_1^j,\ldots, j_{n_j}^j$ for $j=1,\ldots,k$
	- **Example 5 responses of k** -1 treatments and 1 control group **Example 1** transmit lone way ANOVA]
	- **Example 3** accuracies of k classifiers over $n_i = n$ datasets **Example 2** integrated measures/two way ANOVA]
- Linear regression model over dummy encoded *i*:

$$
Y = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_{k-1} x_{k-1}
$$

- $\triangleright \alpha = \mu_k$ is the mean of the reference group $(i = k)$ \triangleright $\beta_i = \mu_i - \mu_k$
- F-test: $H_0: \beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0$, i.e., $\mu_i = \mu_k$
- [Tukey HSD](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tukey%27s_range_test) (Honest Significant Differences) is an all-pairs post-hoc test
- [Dunnet test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dunnett%27s_test) is a one-to-everything test

Non-parametric test of equality of means: Friedman

\n- \n
$$
H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k
$$
\n
\n- \n $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ for some $i \neq j$ \n
\n- \n datasets x_1^j, \ldots, x_n^j for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ *[paired observations/repeated measures]*\n
\n- \n accuracies of *k* classifiers over *n* datasets\n
\n- \n Let r_i^j be the rank of x_i^j in x_1^1, \ldots, x_i^k \n
\n- \n e.g., j^{th} classifier w.r.t. i^{th} dataset\n
\n- \n Average rank of classifier: $R_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^j$ \n
\n- \n Under H_0 , we have $R_1 = \ldots = R_k$ and, for *n* and *k* large:\n
$$
\chi_F^2 = \frac{12n}{k(k+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k R_j^2 - \frac{k(k+1)^2}{4} \right) \sim \chi^2(k)
$$
\n
\n

- Nemenyi test is an all-pairs post-hoc test
- Bonferroni correction is a one-to-everything test
- For unpaired observations, use [Kruskal-Wallis test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kruskal%E2%80%93Wallis_one-way_analysis_of_variance) instead of Friedman test

 $j=1$